

SAFE ADMINISTRATION OF THE MEASLES VACCINE TO CHILDREN ALLERGIC TO EGGS

JOHN M. JAMES, M.D., A. WESLEY BURKS, M.D., PAULA K. ROBERSON, PH.D., AND HUGH A. SAMPSON, M.D.

Abstract *Background.* The safety of administering the combined measles–mumps–rubella (MMR) vaccine to patients who are allergic to eggs has been debated for decades because of concern about potential anaphylaxis, since the live attenuated virus used in the vaccine is grown in cultured chick-embryo fibroblasts.

Methods. We recruited 54 children (mean age, 18.5 months) who had not previously been vaccinated and were allergic to eggs. The children's histories of allergy were confirmed with skin tests and double-blind, placebo-controlled food-challenge tests; some children also underwent skin testing with the MMR vaccine. We then routinely administered the vaccine to the children in one subcutaneous (0.5-ml) dose.

Results. All 54 children had positive results on skin testing with egg. Allergy to eggs was confirmed in 26 of the children by convincing histories of anaphylaxis after the ingestion of eggs, in 22 children by food-challenge tests, and in 6 patients by convincing histories of recent allergic reactions occurring after the ingestion of eggs. Of the 17 children who underwent skin testing with the MMR vaccine, 3 had positive results. All 54 children received the MMR vaccine as a single subcutaneous injection; none had an immediate or delayed adverse reaction.

Conclusions. The MMR vaccine can be safely administered in a single dose to children with allergy to eggs, even those with severe hypersensitivity. (N Engl J Med 1995;332:1262-6.)

PHYSICIANS face a clinical dilemma in deciding whether to administer measles vaccines to patients who are allergic to eggs, because of concern about anaphylaxis in response to the vaccines, which contain live attenuated virus prepared in chick-embryo fibroblast-cell cultures.¹ An estimated 0.5 percent of children have allergy to eggs.^{2,3} Given an estimated 4 million live births per year in the United States and a 95 percent vaccination rate, decisions about the use of these vaccines must be made each year for approximately 19,000 children with allergy to eggs (Vitek C, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention: personal communication). The American Academy of Pediatrics recommends the routine use of measles vaccines — including the combined measles–mumps–rubella (MMR) vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme, West Point, Pa.) — in patients with nonanaphylactic allergy to eggs and in patients with allergies to chicken or feathers.⁴ In contrast, they recommend that patients who have severe anaphylactic reactions after the ingestion of eggs should not receive these vaccines until skin tests have been performed. If skin-prick and intradermal tests are negative, the vaccine can be administered in the usual fashion. If not, six subcutaneous injections of the vaccine are administered in progressively increasing doses, a procedure that is both upsetting to the child and time-consuming.

Although several recent studies found that the administration of the MMR vaccine in a single dose was safe in patients who were allergic to eggs,⁵⁻¹⁰ the recommendations of the American Academy of Pediatrics remain unchanged.⁴ We prospectively studied the administration of the MMR vaccine in a single dose to

patients who had allergy to eggs. In this report we describe our clinical experience, discuss previous reports of anaphylactic reactions after the administration of the vaccine to children without allergy to eggs, and propose specific changes in the current recommendations.⁴

METHODS

Children with clinical histories of allergy to eggs who were referred to our institutions from 1990 through 1994 were recruited for the study. The children had not previously received the MMR vaccine and ranged from 12 to 63 months in age (mean, 18.5). Evidence in the children's histories that suggested hypersensitivity to eggs included life-threatening anaphylactic reactions, urticaria, gastrointestinal symptoms, wheezing, and laryngeal edema after the ingestion of egg protein.

All the children underwent skin-prick testing with egg extract (1:10 wt/vol; Greer Laboratories, Lenoir, N.C.) mixed with an equal volume of glycerine, according to methods described elsewhere.^{11,12} A positive skin-test reaction was recorded when the mean diameter of the wheal was at least 3 mm greater than that of the wheal produced by the negative control (50 percent glycerinated saline). In addition, some of these patients underwent skin-prick testing with the full-strength MMR vaccine before being immunized.

Double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenges were carried out as described previously.^{13,14} Reactions were considered positive when there were objective cutaneous, respiratory, or gastrointestinal symptoms within two hours after the food challenge. Challenges were not performed if there was a convincing history of anaphylaxis (indicated by a history of generalized urticaria, laryngeal edema, bronchospasm, or hypotension) after the ingestion of food containing egg. All negative responses to the challenge were confirmed by open challenge with a normal portion of a food containing egg.

After skin testing and food challenges were performed, all children in the study were given the MMR vaccine in the usual fashion, in one 0.5-ml subcutaneous injection, and their reactions were assessed. Exact 95 percent confidence intervals for reaction rates were computed from the binomial distribution with use of the statistical software package StatXact-Turbo (Cytel Software, Cambridge, Mass.).

RESULTS

We prospectively evaluated the subcutaneous administration of the MMR vaccine in a single 0.5-ml dose to 54 children who were allergic to eggs. The children were vaccinated in 1990 through 1994 at either Johns Hopkins Hospital in Baltimore or the Arkansas Children's Hospital in Little Rock. All the children had histories and skin-test results compatible with hypersensi-

From the Department of Pediatrics, Divisions of Pediatric Allergy and Immunology, and the Center for Applied Research and Evaluation, Arkansas Children's Hospital Research Institute and the University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, Little Rock (J.M.J., A.W.B., P.K.R.); and the Department of Pediatrics and the Division of Immunology and Allergy, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore (H.A.S.). Address reprint requests to Dr. James at the Arkansas Children's Hospital, 800 Marshall St., Little Rock, AR 72202.

Supported in part by a grant from the Allergy and Immunology Institute of the International Life Sciences Institute (to Dr. James), by a grant from the Allergy and Asthma Foundation of America (to Dr. Burks), and by grants (AI 24439 and DRR, RR00052) from the National Institutes of Health (to Dr. Sampson).

Table 1. Clinical Characteristics of 54 Children with Allergy to Eggs.

| CHARACTERISTIC | No. |
|---|------------------|
| Sex (M/F) | 37/17 |
| Median age at diagnosis of allergy* | 30 mo |
| Range | 6 months–11.5 yr |
| Positive skin-test response to egg (no.) | 54 |
| Positive skin-test response to undiluted MMR (no./no. tested) | 3/17 |
| Evidence of egg allergy (no.) | |
| Convincing history | 26 |
| Positive food-challenge test | 22 |
| Recent severe reaction to ingestion of egg | 6 |
| Reaction to MMR administered as a single dose (no.) | 0 |

*In a few children (n = 4) the diagnosis of egg allergy was not documented by a challenge test until several years after the administration of the MMR vaccine.

tivity to eggs (Table 1). In 26 children there was a convincing history of severe, generalized anaphylactic symptoms after the ingestion of egg; therefore, these children were not subjected to the food challenge. In 22 children the history of egg hypersensitivity was confirmed by a positive food-challenge test. Symptoms that appeared during the challenge test involved the skin (urticaria, angioedema, or erythema) in 17 of the 22 children tested (77 percent), the gastrointestinal tract (vomiting, diarrhea, or abdominal pain) in 11 (50 percent), and the respiratory tract (wheezing, nasal congestion, or laryngeal edema) in 13 (59 percent). The remaining six children were not challenged because they had recently had an allergic reaction to the ingestion of egg protein. All 54 allergic children were safely immunized with the MMR vaccine, including the 3 children with positive responses to skin testing with the vaccine. No child in the study had an immediate or delayed adverse reaction to vaccination with the MMR vaccine.

DISCUSSION

Our study confirms that the administration of a single 0.5-ml dose of the MMR vaccine to children who have allergy to eggs is safe. No immediate anaphylactic reactions were observed, even in children with severe hypersensitivity to eggs. A cumulative total of 222 patients with egg allergy have now safely received the single-dose MMR vaccine at our institutions.^{5,15}

Approximately 30 years ago, Kamin et al.^{16,17} reported the safe administration of a measles vaccine (Edmonston strain) to 22 children with allergy to eggs whose histories were confirmed by food challenges. Another group reported the safe administration of a measles vaccine (Lirugen, Dow Chemical, Indianapolis) to a child with severe hypersensitivity to eggs.¹⁸ During the 1980s, Miller et al.¹⁹ and Greenberg and Bix²⁰ administered the MMR vaccine to a combined total of 19 children who were allergic to eggs without any reported symptoms of anaphylaxis. More recently, Kemp et al.⁶ successfully immunized 32 children who were allergic to eggs, without any adverse reactions (the vaccines

used were the attenuated Schwartz strain of virus, prepared by Rimevax, Smith Kline and French, Rixensart, Belgium; and M-M-Vax, Merck Sharp & Dohme). Lavi et al.²¹ safely immunized 90 such children using one dose of the M-M-R II vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme). Finally, investigators from Italy administered the measles vaccine safely to 23 children with severe egg allergy confirmed by positive open food challenges.⁷ Subsequently, the same group has safely immunized an additional 60 children with allergy to eggs.⁸

Two recent reports summarize the safe administration of the MMR vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme) in a single dose to children with allergy to eggs who had positive reactions in skin tests of egg.^{9,10} In the first report, by Aickin et al.,⁹ 242 of 410 children had documented allergic reactions after the ingestion of egg: 33 had generalized urticaria, angioedema, and stridor or wheezing, 101 had generalized urticaria and angioedema or vomiting, and 108 had histories of localized facial urticaria or erythema. The results of skin testing with the vaccine were not useful in predicting subsequent allergic reactions to immunization. In the other report, by Freigang et al.,¹⁰ no adverse reactions were observed after the administration of the MMR vaccine to 500 children allergic to eggs, including 33 who had had anaphylaxis with respiratory distress after the ingestion of egg.

Rare anaphylactic reactions have been reported after the routine administration of the MMR vaccine to patients allergic to eggs.²² One retrospective study reported generalized urticaria, angioedema, and wheezing in two children (one of whom had a decrease in blood pressure) after the administration of measles vaccine (Merck Sharp & Dohme). A convincing history of hypersensitivity to eggs was lacking, however, for one of the patients. Moreover, neither child had undergone skin testing or a blinded food challenge. Specific IgE antibodies to ovalbumin, the measles vaccine, and the MMR vaccine were detected in serum from both patients. In addition, six patients with allergy to eggs who had positive skin tests were immunized with incremental doses of the vaccine every 15 to 20 minutes, up to the full dose of 0.5 ml. The authors therefore recommended that patients with a history of anaphylaxis after the ingestion of eggs undergo skin-prick and intradermal testing with the vaccine. If the test was positive, the vaccine was to be administered in a series of graded injections.²² Although these results have not been confirmed by other investigators,^{5-10,14,20} previously published recommendations for the administration of measles vaccines to patients who are allergic to eggs²³ were revised.⁴

Adverse reactions have been reported to both intradermal testing and the administration of the MMR vaccine in multiple, low-dose injections. One patient with an allergy to eggs had generalized urticaria and pruritus during intradermal skin testing with the vaccine, and another had local swelling and wheezing.²⁴ Previous studies have not found systemic reactions in patients with allergy to eggs who underwent intra-

dermal skin testing with measles vaccines.^{5,9,16,18-21,25} We estimate that the dose of ovalbumin-like material injected intradermally would have been less than 1 pg, an amount that seems unlikely to produce such reactions.⁵ In addition, of 24 children who were allergic to eggs, had positive skin-test reactions to the diluted MMR vaccine, and were given the vaccine in several graded doses,¹⁸ 3 had generalized urticaria that resolved spontaneously without medical treatment between the second and fourth injections. In a related study, systemic hypersensitivity reactions were observed in two patients with allergy to eggs who received graded doses of the MMR vaccine.²⁵ One patient had repeated vomiting and irritability without hypotension; in another there was urticaria, circumoral cyanosis, and evidence of desaturation on oximetry (without any change in heart rate or blood pressure). Both patients completed the graded-dose protocol safely and did not require treatment with epinephrine. In the patient in whom transcutaneous oxygen saturation dropped without changes in either heart rate or blood pressure, the diagnosis of anaphylaxis appears suspect. The dose of egg protein injected subcutaneously (estimated to be less than 20 pg of an ovalbumin-like material) seems extremely small to have precipitated these reactions. The multiple-sequential-injection schemes

Table 3. Anaphylactic Reactions to Measles or MMR Vaccine in Patients without Allergy to Eggs.

| STUDY | YEAR | NO. OF PATIENTS | POSITIVE SKIN TEST | | | REACTION TO VACCINATION |
|----------------------------------|------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | SKIN TEST WITH EGG | SKIN PRICK WITH VACCINE | INTRADERMAL TEST WITH VACCINE | |
| | | | <i>no. positive/no. tested</i> | | | <i>no. with reaction/no. vaccinated</i> |
| Fasano et al. ⁵ | 1992 | 2 | 0/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 2/2 |
| Aukrust et al. ²⁶ | 1980 | 6 | 0/6 | 1/6 | — | 6/6 |
| Van Asperen et al. ²⁷ | 1981 | 3 | — | — | — | 3/3 |
| McEwen ²⁸ | 1983 | 15 | — | — | — | 15/15 |
| Pollock and Morris ²⁹ | 1983 | 9 | — | — | — | 9/9 |
| Thurston ³⁰ | 1987 | 2 | — | — | — | 2/2 |
| Kelso et al. ³¹ | 1993 | 1 | 0/1 | 1/1 | — | 1/1 |
| Total | | 38 | 0/9 | 3/9 | 1/2 | 38/38 |

themselves may have contributed to the adverse reactions in these studies.^{20,25}

The administration of the measles or MMR vaccine to patients with allergy to eggs has been evaluated in numerous studies with a combined total of 1265 patients (Table 2).^{5-10,15-22,24,25} None of the 284 patients whose histories were confirmed with blinded oral challenges with egg had any adverse reactions, indicating that at least 99 percent of children with challenge-proved egg allergy can receive this vaccine in one subcutaneous dose without severe anaphylactic reactions (exact 95 percent confidence interval, 99.0 to 100 percent). Furthermore, the MMR vaccine was safely administered in single-dose fashion to all 1209 patients

with positive skin-test responses to egg, indicating that at least 99.75 percent of children who are allergic to eggs who have positive skin tests can receive this vaccine in the usual fashion without severe anaphylactic reactions (exact 95 percent confidence interval, 99.75 to 100 percent). Only 2 (0.16 percent) of the 1227 patients who were allergic to eggs who received the vaccine in the usual way, as a single dose, had any symptoms suggesting anaphylaxis. These combined data indicate that over 99 percent of children who are allergic to eggs can safely receive this vaccine in the routine fashion (exact 95 percent confidence interval, 99.41 percent to 99.98 percent). We estimate that a total of 8000 patients with allergy to eggs would have to be safely vaccinated with the MMR vaccine to demonstrate statistically that 99.9 percent of such patients can safely receive this vaccine.

A total of 38 immediate, anaphylactic-type reactions to the measles or MMR vaccine have been reported in patients without allergy to eggs

Table 2. Administration of Measles or MMR Vaccine to Patients with Allergy to Eggs.*

| STUDY | YEAR | NO. OF PATIENTS | POSITIVE FOR EGG ALLERGY | | REACTION TO VACCINATION | |
|---------------------------------|------|-----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---|--------------|
| | | | SKIN TEST | FOOD CHALLENGE | ONE DOSE | GRADED DOSES |
| | | | <i>no. positive/no. tested</i> | | <i>no. with reaction/no. vaccinated</i> | |
| Current study | 1995 | 54 | 54/54 | 22/22 | 0/54 | — |
| Fasano et al. ⁵ | 1992 | 140 | 140/140 | 132/140 | 0/140 | — |
| Kemp et al. ⁶ | 1990 | 32 | 31/32 | ND | 0/32 | — |
| Bruno et al. ⁷ | 1990 | 23 | 18/23 | 23/23 | 0/23 | — |
| Businco et al. ⁸ | 1991 | 60 | 60/60 | 60/60 | 0/60 | — |
| Aickin et al. ⁹ | 1994 | 242 | 242/242 | ND | 0/242 | — |
| Freigang et al. ¹⁰ | 1994 | 500 | 500/500 | ND | 0/500 | — |
| Beck et al. ¹⁵ | 1991 | 28 | 25/28 | 20/20 | 0/28 | — |
| Kamin et al. ¹⁶ | 1963 | 11 | 11/11 | 11/11 | 0/11 | — |
| Kamin et al. ¹⁷ | 1965 | 11 | 10/11 | 11/11 | 0/11 | — |
| Brown and Wolfe ¹⁸ | 1967 | 1 | 1/1 | 1/1 | — | 0/1 |
| Miller et al. ¹⁹ | 1983 | 4 | 4/4 | 4/4 | 0/4 | — |
| Greenberg and Bix ²⁰ | 1988 | 15 | 15/15 | ND | 0/15 | — |
| Lavi et al. ²¹ | 1990 | 114 | 114/114 | ND | 0/90 | 3/24† |
| Herman et al. ²² | 1983 | 8 | NR | NR | 2/2‡ | 0/6 |
| Puvvada et al. ²⁴ | 1993 | 10§ | NR | NR | 0/6 | 0/2 |
| Trotter et al. ²⁵ | 1994 | 12 | 12/12 | ND | 0/9 | 1/3¶ |
| Total | | 1265 | 1237/1247 | 284/292 | 2/1227 | 4/36 |

*ND denotes not done, and NR not recorded.

†Three of 24 patients vaccinated with graded doses had urticaria during vaccination, but no medical therapy was required.

‡One patient did not have a convincing history of egg allergy.

§Two patients were not immunized because they had reactions described as anaphylactic during intradermal skin tests with the vaccine.

¶Of three patients who received the vaccine in graded doses, one patient had vomiting and irritability without specific symptoms of anaphylaxis, and another had urticaria, circumoral cyanosis, and desaturation on pulse oximetry without any change in heart rate or blood pressure.

(Table 3).^{5,26-31} Four of the nine patients tested with the vaccine had positive skin tests. One of these reports implicated gelatin, a stabilizing component of the vaccine, as the cause of an anaphylactic reaction in an adolescent without allergy to eggs.³¹ In addition, data concerning adverse reactions to measles vaccines from 1990 to the present were obtained from the Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System, a program of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the Food and Drug Administration (Nazario J, Vaccine Adverse Event Reporting System: personal communication). Twenty-five cases of generalized anaphylaxis after the administration of the MMR vaccine were identified, but there was no evidence of egg allergy in the available medical reports. In summary, anaphylaxis after immunization against measles can occur in patients without allergy to eggs, a fact that suggests that these reactions may be related to some component of the vaccine other than egg protein.

Although there are detectable amounts of egg-related antigens in measles vaccines, which are grown in cultures of chick-embryo fibroblasts, the amounts are far less than in the vaccines produced in embryonated eggs against influenza and yellow fever. Reports range from no detectable egg protein³² to 1 ng of ovalbumin²² per dose in the MMR vaccines. Fasano and colleagues⁵ determined that 37 pg of a material that cross-reacts with ovalbumin was present in the standard 0.5-ml MMR-vaccine injection. It is not known whether the immune system of a patient with egg allergy recognizes this detectable egg protein in the vaccine as antigenically similar to proteins that provoke reactions after the ingestion of eggs. Investigations of components of the vaccine other than egg protein, such as gelatin,³¹ are needed to help explain the cases of anaphylaxis after the administration of the MMR vaccine to patients without egg allergy (Table 3).

The reliability of the procedures for conducting skin tests with the measles vaccine that are currently outlined by the American Academy of Pediatrics⁴ is not supported by our study or by previously published data (Table 2). Patients with and without egg allergy can have positive skin-test reactions to the vaccine and still be safely immunized.^{5,9,19,25,33} Specifically, patients with allergy to eggs who have positive skin tests with the MMR vaccine have been immunized safely, without anaphylaxis. Moreover, of the patients without allergy to eggs who had anaphylactic reactions after receiving the measles vaccine, approximately half had positive reactions on skin testing with the vaccine.^{5,26-28,31} This suggests that these reactions may consist of nonspecific irritation or a reaction to some other component of the vaccine besides egg protein.

Convincing evidence supports the safety of the routine administration of measles vaccines to all children who have allergy to eggs. We therefore propose a revision of the current policy regarding the administration of these vaccines to patients with allergy to eggs.⁴ Skin-prick or intradermal testing with the MMR vaccine does not need to be performed, regardless of whether there is a history of allergy to eggs. One injection of the

vaccine, rather than a series of graded doses, should be given, followed by 90 minutes of observation in a clinic setting with equipment for emergency medical treatment, if necessary, immediately available. There is a very small chance of an adverse reaction in any child, even those without allergy to eggs. Anaphylactic reactions after the administration of vaccines should be reported and investigated fully, with attention to all the components of the vaccine. Package-insert information should be revised to reflect the safety of the vaccine, even for patients with egg allergy. The current guidelines lead to delay in administration of this important vaccine to patients who have allergies to eggs and unnecessarily place them at risk for measles, which is a potentially serious viral illness.

We are indebted to Lynn Keible, R.N., and Gail Wilson, R.N., for their expert assistance in recruiting patients and in performing the skin-prick tests and blinded food challenges; and to Gary Wheeler, M.D., for his critical review of the manuscript.

REFERENCES

1. Physicians' desk reference. 49th ed. Montvale, N.J.: Medical Economics Data, 1995:1573-5.
2. Ford RP, Taylor B. Natural history of egg hypersensitivity. *Arch Dis Child* 1982;57:649-52.
3. Dannaeus A, Johansson SGO, Foucard T, Ohman S. Clinical and immunological aspects of food allergy in childhood. I. Estimation of IgG, IgA and IgE antibodies to food antigens in children with food allergy and atopic dermatitis. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1977;66:31-7.
4. 1994 Red book: report of the Committee on Infectious Diseases. 23rd ed. Elk Grove Village, Ill.: American Academy of Pediatrics, 1994:36-7.
5. Fasano MB, Wood RA, Cooke SK, Sampson HA. Egg hypersensitivity and adverse reactions to measles, mumps and rubella vaccine. *J Pediatr* 1992; 120:878-81.
6. Kemp A, Van Asperen P, Mukhi A. Measles immunization in children with clinical reactions to egg protein. *Am J Dis Child* 1990;144:33-5.
7. Bruno G, Giampietro PG, Grandolfo ME, Milita O, Businco L. Safety of measles immunisation in children with IgE-mediated egg allergy. *Lancet* 1990;335:739.
8. Businco L, Grandolfo M, Bruno G. Safety of measles immunization in egg-allergic children. *Pediatr Allergy Immunol* 1991;4:195-8.
9. Aickin R, Hill D, Kemp A. Measles immunisation in children with allergy to egg. *BMJ* 1994;309:223-5.
10. Freigang B, Jadavji TP, Freigang DW. Lack of adverse reactions to measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine in egg-allergic children. *Ann Allergy* 1994;73: 486-8.
11. Voorhorst R, van Krieken H. Atopic skin test reevaluated. II. Variability in results of skin testing done in octuplicate. *Ann Allergy* 1973;31:195-204.
12. Sampson HA. Comparative study of commercial food antigen extracts for the diagnosis of food hypersensitivity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1988;82:718-26.
13. Bock SA, Sampson HA, Atkins FM, et al. Double-blind, placebo-controlled food challenge (DBPCFC) as an office procedure: a manual. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1988;82:986-97.
14. Sampson HA. Immunologically mediated food allergy: the importance of food challenge procedures. *Ann Allergy* 1988;60:262-9.
15. Beck SA, Williams LW, Shirrell MA, Burks AW. Egg hypersensitivity and measles-mumps-rubella vaccine administration. *Pediatrics* 1991;88:913-7.
16. Kamin PB, Fein BT, Britton HA. Live, attenuated measles vaccine: its administration to children allergic to egg protein. *JAMA* 1963;185:647-50.
17. *Idem*. Use of live, attenuated measles virus vaccine in children allergic to egg protein. *JAMA* 1965;193:1125-6.
18. Brown FR, Wolfe HI. Chick embryo grown measles vaccine in an egg-sensitive child. *J Pediatr* 1967;71:868-9.
19. Miller JR, Orgel HA, Meltzer EO. The safety of egg-containing vaccines for egg-allergic patients. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1983;71:568-73.
20. Greenberg MA, Bix DL. Safe administration of mumps-measles-rubella vaccine in egg-allergic children. *J Pediatr* 1988;113:504-6.
21. Lavi S, Zimmerman B, Koren G, Gold R. Administration of measles, mumps, and rubella virus vaccine (live) to egg-allergic children. *JAMA* 1990;263:269-71.
22. Herman JJ, Radin R, Schneiderman R. Allergic reaction to measles (rubeola) vaccine in patients hypersensitive to egg protein. *J Pediatr* 1983;102: 196-9.
23. 1982 Red book: report of the committee on infectious diseases. 19th ed. Evanston, Ill.: American Academy of Pediatrics, 1982.

24. Puvvada L, Silverman B, Bassett C, Chiaramonte LT. Systemic reactions to measles-mumps-rubella vaccine skin testing. *Pediatrics* 1993;91:835-6.
25. Trotter AC, Stone BD, Laszlo DJ, Georgitis JW. Measles, mumps, rubella vaccine administration in egg-sensitive children: systemic reactions during vaccine desensitization. *Ann Allergy* 1994;72:25-8.
26. Aukrust L, Almeland TL, Refsum D, Aas K. Severe hypersensitivity or intolerance reactions to measles vaccine in six children: clinical and immunologic studies. *Allergy* 1980;35:581-7.
27. Van Asperen PP, McEniery J, Kemp AS. Immediate reactions following live attenuated measles vaccine. *Med J Aust* 1981;2:330-1.
28. McEwen J. Early-onset reaction after measles vaccination: further Australian reports. *Med J Aust* 1983;2:503-5.
29. Pollock TM, Morris J. A 7-year survey of disorders attributed to vaccination in North West Thames region. *Lancet* 1983;1:753-7.
30. Thurston A. Anaphylactic shock reaction to measles vaccine. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1987;37:41.
31. Kelso JM, Jones RT, Yunginger JW. Anaphylaxis to measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine mediated by IgE to gelatin. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 1993;91:867-72.
32. O'Brien TC, Maloney CJ, Tauraso NM. Quantitation of residual host protein in chicken embryo-derived vaccines by radial immunodiffusion. *Appl Microbiol* 1971;21:780-2.
33. Juntunen-Backman K, Peltola H, Backman A, Salo OP. Safe immunization of allergic children against measles, mumps, and rubella. *Am J Dis Child* 1987;141:1103-5.

The *Journal's* E-Mail Addresses:

For letters to the Editor:
letters@edit.nejm.org

For information about submitting material for Images in Clinical Medicine:
images@edit.nejm.org

For information about the status of a submitted manuscript:
status@edit.nejm.org