

Massimo Antonelli  
Mitchell Levy  
Peter J. D. Andrews  
Jean Chastre  
Leonard D. Hudson  
Constantine Manthous  
G. Umberto Meduri  
Rui P. Moreno  
Christian Putensen  
Thomas Stewart  
Antoni Torres

## Hemodynamic monitoring in shock and implications for management

International Consensus Conference, Paris, France,  
27–28 April 2006

Received: 13 October 2006  
Accepted: 5 January 2007  
Published online: 7 February 2007  
© Springer-Verlag 2007

### Electronic supplementary material

The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s00134-007-0531-4) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users

M. Antonelli (✉)  
Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore,  
Istituto di Anestesiologia e Rianimazione,  
Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli,  
Largo A. Gemelli, 8, 00168 Rome, Italy  
e-mail: m.antonelli@rm.unicatt.it  
Tel.: +39-06-30153226  
Fax: +39-06-3013450

M. Levy  
Rhode Island Hospital & Brown University,  
Providence RI, USA

P. J. D. Andrews  
University of Edinburgh & Western General  
Hospital,  
Edinburgh, UK

J. Chastre  
Hopital Pitié Salpêtrière,  
Paris, France

L. D. Hudson  
Harborview Medical Center,  
Seattle OR, USA

C. Manthous  
Bridgeport Hospital,  
Bridgeport CT, USA

G. U. Meduri  
University of Tennessee HSC,  
Memphis TN, USA

R. P. Moreno  
Hospital de St. António dos Capuchos,  
Lisbon, Portugal

C. Putensen  
University of Bonn, Operative  
Intensivmedizin, Klinik und Poliklinik fuer  
Anaesthesiologie und operative  
Intensivmedizin,  
Bonn, Germany

T. Stewart  
Mount Sinai Hospital, Critical Care  
Medicine,  
Toronto, Canada

A. Torres  
Hospital Clínic de Barcelona, Servei de  
Pneumologia i Allèrgia Respiratòria,  
Barcelona, Spain

**Abstract** *Objective:* Shock is a severe syndrome resulting in multiple organ dysfunction and a high mortality rate. The goal of this consensus statement is to provide recommendations regarding the monitoring and management of the critically ill patient with shock. *Methods:* An international consensus conference was held in April 2006 to develop recommendations for hemodynamic monitoring and implications for management of patients with shock. Evidence-based recommendations were developed, after conferring with experts and reviewing the pertinent literature, by a jury of 11 persons representing five critical care soci-

eties. *Data synthesis:* A total of 17 recommendations were developed to provide guidance to intensive care physicians monitoring and caring for the patient with shock. Topics addressed were as follows: (1) What are the epidemiologic and pathophysiologic features of shock in the ICU? (2) Should we monitor preload and fluid responsiveness in shock? (3) How and when should we monitor stroke volume or cardiac output in shock? (4) What markers of the regional and micro-circulation can be monitored, and how can cellular function be assessed in shock? (5) What is the evidence for using hemodynamic monitoring to direct therapy in shock? One of the most important recommendations was that hypotension is not required to define shock, and as a result, importance is assigned to the presence of inadequate tissue perfusion on physical examination. Given the current evidence, the only biomarker recommended for diagnosis or staging of shock is blood lactate. The jury also recommended against the routine use of (1) the pulmonary artery catheter in shock and (2) static preload measurements used alone to predict fluid responsiveness. *Conclusions:* This consensus statement provides 17 different recommenda-

tions pertaining to the monitoring and caring of patients with shock. There were some important questions that could not be fully addressed using

an evidence-based approach, and areas needing further research were identified.

**Keywords** Shock · Hemodynamic monitoring · ScvO<sub>2</sub> · Lactate · Pulmonary artery catheter · Fluid responsiveness

## Introduction

An international consensus conference (ICC) was held in Paris in April 2006 to develop guidelines for the hemodynamic management of patients with shock and implications for management. Developments in understanding of shock and mechanisms of cardiovascular and cellular failure in sepsis and the developments of new monitoring devices and techniques made a case for integration of all these new data and justified the ICC.

A jury of 11 persons representing five critical care societies attended the presentations of 25 experts in the field of shock (name and subjects are available on line as Electronic Supplementary Material). Experts were asked to address several specific questions posed by the conference organizers and scientific advisors. These included: (1) What are the epidemiologic and pathophysiologic features of shock in the intensive care unit (ICU)? (2) Should we monitor preload and fluid responsiveness in shock? (3) How and when should we monitor stroke volume or cardiac output in shock? (4) What markers of the regional and micro-circulation can be monitored, and how can cellular function be assessed in shock? (5) What is the evidence for using hemodynamic monitoring to direct therapy in shock?

Following the formal presentations, the jury met to review the pertinent literature. Jury members addressed and discussed each question, assigned a level recommendation (L1 or L2), and ranked the quality of evidence (QoE) as defined by the GRADE system [1]. The system classifies quality of evidence as high (grade A), moderate (grade B), low (grade C), or very low (grade D) according to factors that include the study methodology, the consistency and precision of the results, and the directness of the evidence. This quality of evidence reflects the confidence in research estimates of the true effects of an intervention. The GRADE system classifies recommendations as strong (L1) or weak (L2), according to the balance among benefits, risks, burden, and cost, and according to the quality of evidence. Keeping those components explicitly separate constitutes a crucial and defining feature of this grading system. One advance of the GRADE system is that it allows for strong recommendations in the setting of lower quality evidence.

This approach provides a framework for structured evaluation and can help to ensure that recommendations are made in a way that can be readily understood by clinicians.

## Epidemiology

### Septic shock

The majority of epidemiological studies in sepsis have focused on severe sepsis [2], although septic shock has been addressed in some of these studies. In the literature, the reported incidence of septic shock has varied between 6.3% and 14.7% of ICU admissions. The incidence of septic shock appears to be increasing [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14] and the accrued evidence indicates that it is a very common entity in the ICU.

### Cardiogenic shock

The incidence of cardiogenic shock has been mostly studied in acute myocardial infarction. Over the past 20 years, the incidence of shock complicating acute myocardial infarction (AMI) has been relatively stable between 6% and 9% [15, 16, 17, 18, 19].

In the SHOCK trial, 18% of patients with cardiogenic shock as an immediate complication of AMI later developed a sepsis as indicated by leukocytosis, a positive culture (74%), or inappropriately low systemic vascular resistance [20]. The conclusion drawn from these data was that abnormal vasodilation – possibly secondary to activation of the proinflammatory cascade – could contribute to the initial clinical picture of shock.

### Anaphylactic shock

Anaphylactic shock is considerably rarer and **less fatal** than either septic shock or cardiogenic shock [21, 22].

### Burns, trauma and hemorrhage

The incidence of shock following burns, blunt or penetrating trauma has not been determined with the rigor of septic or cardiogenic shock, most likely because operationalizing definitions is difficult in this population. A study of trauma patients found the incidence of septic shock was 20.2% and shock without sepsis 9.3% [23].

## Question 1: What are the epidemiologic and pathophysiologic features of shock in the ICU?

### Rationale and evidence

Attempts to define hemodynamic instability in shock commonly mention the presence of specific clinical findings suggesting hypoperfusion [24]. For years, experts have proposed as an initial step in the evaluation of patients with shock a thorough physical examination with the attempt to identify clinical findings such as hypotension, tachycardia, altered mental status, delayed capillary refill, decreased urine output, and cooled skin and extremities. Such clinical findings form an integral part of many of the current definitions for different types of shock. Some of the clinical findings that are most commonly quoted as being useful are the presence of hypotension, delayed capillary refill, and temperature changes in the skin or extremities.

Shock results from poor tissue perfusion and oxygenation, with microcirculatory inadequacy to sustain tissue oxygen needs, leading to cellular dysoxia. This can be defined as ATP flux decreasing in proportion to oxygen availability, with preserved ATP demand.

In critically ill patients, tissue hypoxia is due to inadequate or disordered regional distribution of blood flow both between and within organs. Therefore, therapy in shock should be aimed, at least in part, at restoring an adequate organ perfusion pressure [25, 26]. Inadequate perfusion leads to the generation of lactate and hydrogen ions which spill over into the bloodstream, leading to the biological profile of lactic acidosis. Despite obvious limitations, the plasma level of lactate remains a good surrogate for inadequate tissue perfusion in shock. In particular, the progressive reduction of plasma lactate and correction of acidosis probably reflects the restoration of organ blood flow [27].

While the definition of shock developed through this consensus process is consistent with available data, the jury acknowledges that there is evidence that hypoperfusion or insufficient tissue oxygen delivery alone may not entirely account for the cellular dysfunction observed in septic shock. Mitochondrial dysfunction and other mechanisms may also be present [28, 29].

Is hypotension necessary for the diagnosis of shock?

Since the advent of the sphygmomanometer and the ability to measure blood pressure, low blood pressure has become synonymous with shock.

A systematic evaluation of physical findings in patients with hypovolemia evaluated the diagnostic accuracy for a systolic blood pressure below 95 mmHg in acute blood loss [30]. A random effects model produced a sensitivity of 13% for moderate blood loss and 33% for large blood

loss. Therefore, a systolic blood pressure below 95 mmHg is not a sensitive measure for ruling out moderate or significant blood loss.

In septic shock definitions also have required the presence of hypotension for the diagnosis of shock. Rivers et al. demonstrated that aggressive and early goal-directed resuscitation can have a significant impact on patient outcomes [31]. This clinical trial evaluated patients with severe sepsis whose mean systolic blood pressure was above 100 mmHg at baseline, with a blood lactate  $> 4$  mmol/L. Patients in both the control and treatment group had clear evidence of shock as measured by mean saturation of central venous oxygen (ScvO<sub>2</sub>) of 49% and 48% respectively.

The definition of shock emerging from this consensus conference does not require the presence of hypotension. Instead, the definition of shock as “failure to deliver and/or utilize adequate amounts of oxygen” may include, but is not limited to, the presence of hypotension.

In this manuscript, shock is defined as circulatory and cellular dysfunction, manifested by markers of hypoperfusion such as elevated blood lactate, decreased ScvO<sub>2</sub> or SvO<sub>2</sub>, with or without hypotension.

Mechanisms of cell injury due to low perfusion states

The basic mechanisms that underlie the development of cell, tissue and organ damage in shock syndromes depend in part on the duration and the severity of the injury.

The hypoxic cell is compromised in multiple ways [28, 29, 32, 33, 34, 35]. Cell energy metabolism is switched from aerobic to anaerobic glycolysis. This leads to the cellular accumulation of lactate, hydrogen ion and inorganic phosphates. Levels of ATP in the cell are decreased due to diminished synthesis, continued consumption and the actions of ATPase. Protein synthesis is compromised, resulting in mitochondrial damage.

Several injurious factors disrupt mitochondrial function, compromising electron transport and activating apoptosis [36, 37]. Increases in intracellular calcium activate intracellular enzymes which hasten the depletion of ATP stores and damage membrane and cytoskeleton proteins [38].

Jury recommendations

**1. We recommend that shock be defined as a life-threatening, generalized maldistribution of blood flow resulting in failure to deliver and/or utilize adequate amounts of oxygen, leading to tissue dysoxia.**

**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

**2. We recommend that hypotension [SBP  $< 90$  mmHg, SBP decrease of 40 mmHg from baseline, or mean arterial pressure (MAP)  $< 65$  mmHg], while commonly present, should not be required to define shock. Shock**

requires evidence of inadequate tissue perfusion on physical examination.

**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

**3. In the absence of hypotension, when shock is suggested by history and physical examination, we recommend that a marker of inadequate perfusion be measured (decreased ScvO<sub>2</sub>, SvO<sub>2</sub>, increased blood lactate, increased base deficit, perfusion-related low pH).**

**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

Systemic inflammatory responses associated with shock states

Shock states are associated with systemic inflammation either due to the primary insult (i.e. infection and septic shock) or as a secondary response to hemorrhage, hypovolemia or severe tissue injury. Leukocytosis, increased acute phase reactants (i.e. C-reactive protein), levels of inflammatory mediators (i.e. cytokines, chemokines) and biomarkers (soluble cytokine receptors, adhesion molecules, calcitonin precursors) can be detected in the blood of all patients in shock, although the magnitude of these responses varies among these shock states. Septic shock has higher levels of inflammatory markers (i.e. TNF, IL-6, calcitonin precursors) than either hemorrhagic or cardiogenic shock and elevated levels of some of the mediators are associated with increased mortality [39, 40, 41]. Traumatic-hemorrhagic shock has less dramatic increases in the levels of inflammatory mediators than septic shock and some are associated with morbidity and mortality [42, 43]. Cardiogenic shock is associated with systemic inflammation with elevated levels of IL-6 but low levels of TNF compared to either septic or hemorrhagic shock [39, 40, 41].

Although there are good animal and human data on the role of mediators in the evolution of shock, current outcome data do not support the routine use of these mediators as bio-markers in the diagnosis and staging of shock.

*Jury recommendations*

**4. Apart from lactate and base deficit, current evidence does not support the routine use of bio-markers for diagnosis or staging of shock.**

**Level 1; QoE high (A)**

Target for blood pressure in the management of shock

Aggressive fluid resuscitation should be avoided and hypotension tolerated in the trauma patients with penetrating injury, until bleeding is surgically stopped [44], whereas there are no guidelines for those with blunt trauma. In cardiogenic shock, no clinical studies have investigated the

best level of blood pressure, but guidelines recommend systolic blood pressure at 100 mmHg in the patients with ST elevation [45]. There is evidence that a mean arterial pressure of 65 mmHg is sufficient in most septic shock patients [46, 47]. In conclusion, while the blood pressure is an easy and universal tool for monitoring the patients developing a shock state, there is a lack of data specifying its best level in shock patients.

*Jury recommendation*

**5. We recommend a target blood pressure during initial shock resuscitation of:**

**For uncontrolled hemorrhage due to trauma: MAP of 40 mmHg until bleeding is surgically controlled.**

**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

**For traumatic brain injury (TBI) without systemic hemorrhage: MAP of 90 mmHg.**

**Level 1; QoE low (C)**

**For all other shock states: MAP > 65 mmHg.**

**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

## **Question 2: Should we monitor preload and fluid responsiveness in shock?**

Rationale and evidence

Preload, along with afterload and cardiac contractility, is an important determinant of cardiac output. Preload has been defined as the load present before contraction of the ventricle has started [48]. Ideally, in shock a clinician should be able to use a measure of preload to determine whether a patient requires additional fluids in order to increase cardiac output. Central venous pressure (CVP) and pulmonary artery occlusion pressure (PAOP) are most commonly used to measure right and left ventricular preloads respectively. End-diastolic ventricular volumes are also used as assessments of preload, most commonly employing echocardiographic assessment. Each of these pressure and volume measurements has limitations.

Dynamic measures of assessing whether a patient requires additional fluid have been proposed in an effort to improve upon accuracy. The principle behind dynamic measures is that pleural pressure swings with ventilation will have an impact on venous return and subsequent cardiac output [49]. Since pleural pressure swings during positive pressure ventilation, the interpretation of dynamic measures will vary depending on the type and degree of ventilation the patient is receiving. For example during a positive pressure breath right ventricular filling might decrease as much as 20%–70% leading to a decrease in stroke volume, that can be amplified in hypovolemic conditions [50]. However, left ventricular output immedi-



ately increases as a result of the positive pressure forcing venous return from the lungs. This leads to an increase in the systolic blood pressure (SBP) termed delta up ( $\Delta$ up). Within moments there is a decrease in the SBP, termed delta down ( $\Delta$ down), commensurate with the reduced right ventricular output. The sum of the  $\Delta$ up and the  $\Delta$ down, which is the difference between the maximal and the minimal SBP values during one mechanical breath, is termed the systolic pressure variation (SPV). There are other dynamic measures of fluid responsiveness which take advantage of this physiology including: arterial pulse-pressure variation, respiratory systolic variation test, aortic flow variation, right atrial pressure changes and vena cava collapse or contraction [51, 52].

The need for additional fluid may also be evaluated by observing the response to a fluid challenge [53, 54]. After a rapid bolus of intravenous fluid or a straight-leg lift [55] (akin to a fluid load since venous return increases) cardiac output immediately increases in patients that are fluid responsive.

Despite the fact that current guidelines [56] as well as important clinical trials have used measures of preload to guide fluid resuscitation [57, 58], clinicians should be cautious when using such measures. Importantly, any measure of preload, particularly if it is a one-time measurement, should not be taken out of context of other variables and the overall clinical condition [52]. For example a normal individual with a normal vascular volume may have a very low CVP and not require additional fluid [58]. Likewise, some patients with high measures of preload may benefit from additional fluids. Thus changes in these parameters following interventions may be much more useful than a single measurement [53, 54, 59].

Unfortunately poor correlation between assessments of preload – whether pressures or volumes – and predictions of fluid responsiveness has been widely reported [50, 51]. For example, in normal healthy volunteers both CVP and PAOP are poor predictors of preload, cardiac performance or changes in cardiac performance following fluid loading in comparison to measurements of end-diastolic ventricular volumes [60]. End-diastolic ventricular volumes were also better measures of preload than CVP and PAOP in diverse groups of critically ill patients [61, 62, 63]. Nonetheless there may be clinical settings (such as cardiomyopathy, severe congestive heart failure or hypovolemia) where titration of therapy based on CVP and PAOP may be helpful [64]. In another study involving normal volunteers, improved cardiac output following volume loading did not correlate with changes in end-diastolic ventricular volumes [65]. Notably measurements of ventricular volumes are not always easy to obtain (especially on the right side of the heart), have associated costs, time delays and are operator dependent.

A number of studies have shown that in mechanically ventilated patients dynamic measures of fluid responsive-

ness are better predictors of fluid responsiveness than static parameters [66, 67, 68, 69, 70]. For example, a  $\Delta$ down component of more than 5 mmHg was found to indicate that the SV index would increase in response to fluid challenge with positive and negative predictive values of 95% and 93% respectively [67]. Other dynamic parameters such as pulse pressure variation (PPV), stroke volume variation and the respiratory systolic variation test have proven to be good predictors of fluid responsiveness in sedated mechanically ventilated patients without spontaneous inspiratory efforts and in sinus rhythm [68, 71, 72].

Dynamic measures, however, have several limitations. Importantly, patients must be on fully controlled mechanical ventilation without spontaneous efforts, which is seldom the case in the majority of ICU patients. In addition, these parameters are affected by the magnitude of the employed tidal volume and the impact of changes to ventilatory parameters is uncertain. Finally, most of the evaluations involving dynamic measures have included relatively stable patients (post-cardiac surgery patients frequently being evaluated), and the extent to which these measures are useful in other potentially unstable populations is uncertain.

Pleural pressure swings during spontaneous breaths are in the opposite direction to those during positive pressure breathing, and hence proposed dynamic measures of fluid responsiveness are of less value. Notably, few studies evaluating measures of fluid responsiveness have specifically focused on the spontaneously breathing patient. Not surprisingly, the measurement of PPV had no predictive value in the subgroup of patients with spontaneous breathing activity [73]. However, reductions in right atrial pressures by at least 1 mmHg during a spontaneous inspiration (after short disconnection from the ventilator in those receiving mechanical ventilation) were shown to be a reasonable predictor of fluid responsiveness [54, 59].

Straight-leg raising (e.g. 45° elevation for 4 min while maintaining the trunk supine) results in an increase in right and left ventricular preload [73]. Such a test may help in predicting individual fluid responsiveness during spontaneous and positive pressure breaths while avoiding the hazards of unnecessary fluid loading [73, 74, 75].

#### *Jury recommendations*

**6. We recommend that preload measurement alone not be used to predict fluid responsiveness.**

**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

**7. We recommend that in shock, low values of commonly used static measures of preload such as CVP, RAP, PAOP (for example less than 4 mmHg) and ventricular volumes, should lead to immediate fluid resuscitation with careful monitoring.**

**Level 1; QoE low (C)**

**8. We recommend a fluid challenge to predict fluid responsiveness. A fluid challenge consists of either immediate administration (for example 10–15 minutes) of 250 cc of crystalloid or colloid equivalent (eventually repeatable, if indicated) or a straight-leg raise with a goal of obtaining a rise in CVP of at least 2 mmHg. A positive response includes measures of improved cardiac function and tissue perfusion.**

**Level 1; QoE low (C)**

**9. We do not recommend the routine use of dynamic measures of fluid responsiveness (including but not limited to pulse pressure variation, aortic flow changes, systolic pressure variation, respiratory systolic variation test, and collapse of vena cava).**

**Level 1; QoE high (A)**

**There may be some advantage to these measurements in highly selected patients**

**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

#### Research questions

1. Well-designed randomized controlled trials are required to compare static and dynamic measures of preload as predictors of fluid responsiveness in applicable populations of critically ill patients. Importantly, these should be tied to goal-directed interventions with clinically meaningful outcomes.

### **Question 3: How and when should we monitor stroke volume or cardiac output in shock?**

#### Rationale and evidence

While cardiac output (CO) *can* be measured, that does not mean it should be measured routinely. Misuse of CO data may worsen outcomes [75]. Monitoring CO would only be of value *if* it guided therapies to improve patient outcomes.

In most patients, resuscitation commences with physical examination and estimation of CO and, in many, shock can be reversed using simple monitoring (e.g. physical examination, serial blood pressure measurements, urine output) and hypothesis-driven therapies (e.g. fluid loading) *without* need of further measurements or procedures.

In some patients shock persists after the first 30–120 min of resuscitation. In initial non-responders, knowledge of cardiac function *could* be useful to modify the resuscitation. For example, if the heart is adequately filled and heart function is poor, management concentrates on improving cardiac function (i.e. treat reversible lesions,  $\beta$ -agonist medications preferred over pure  $\alpha$ -agonists). A second group in whom knowledge of cardiac function may be important is hypoxemic patients with signs of left or right heart failure (when excessive intravascular volume expansion may worsen oxygenation).

Perioperative “optimization” of CO and oxygen delivery may be associated with better outcomes in high-risk patients [76]. Pulmonary artery catheterization (PAC) is *not* associated with reduced mortality in critically ill patients [77]. There are no data to support that knowing or targeting CO affects shock patients’ outcomes. Importantly, one study demonstrated higher mortality of patients treated with high doses of inotropes, with the goal of achieving supranormal CO/O<sub>2</sub> delivery [75]. While septic shock patients benefited from *early*, protocolized resuscitation that included augmentation of CO, it was not the target of resuscitation [31]. Thus this study does *not* support directly that knowing or targeting CO improves outcomes. Future studies are required to examine whether *early* CO-targeted management improves outcomes of patients with shock.

While awaiting such data, there may be a justification for measuring cardiac function to clarify mechanisms when shock does not reverse after initial therapies. It is plausible that the studies failed to demonstrate benefit [75, 76] because they focused on all critically ill patients, rather than refractory cases of shock. Lack of benefit could also have related to late initiation of PAC-guided, targeted therapy [79]. Most causes of cardiogenic shock are identified by history and physical examination. In cases that are initially unresponsive to treatment, cardiac dysfunction, either causing or contributing to shock, may require specific therapies that must be administered early, within a particular time after onset of symptoms, to be effective. These include coronary interventions for acute coronary syndrome, drainage of tamponade, and thrombolysis/mechanical extirpation of massive pulmonary embolus. In such cases of cardiogenic shock, knowledge of CO might be diagnostically and therapeutically useful.

Clinical bedside examination using capillary refill times, skin temperature, and pulse pressure have not been sufficiently precise and reproducible to estimate CO [79, 80]. While transthoracic echocardiography does not yet quantify CO precisely, it provides non-invasive qualitative assessment of right and left heart filling, contractility, valvular function, and pericardial disease [81]. When available and performed/interpreted correctly, it can identify cardiac contributions to shock, and allow early therapies. There are no studies to demonstrate that using echocardiography may improve outcome in shock patients. Nonetheless, due to potential benefits and negligible risk, transthoracic echocardiography can be considered when there is clinical evidence of ventricular failure and persistent shock, despite fluid resuscitation.

There are many quantitative methods of measuring CO. Because there is no “gold” reference standard, there are insufficient data to recommend any one method of quantifying CO over the other. The ICC examined studies that included at least some shock patients *and* Bland–Altman plots of newer techniques versus thermodilution (TD-CO) measurement. The operating characteristics of some newer

techniques demonstrate promising results in small numbers of shock patients [82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93]. Importantly, all techniques have limitations preventing use in some conditions and might be operator dependent. Several methods will be described briefly.

Pulmonary TD-CO employs a fluid bolus into the central veins while temperature is measured in the pulmonary artery. Transpulmonary TD-CO employs a fluid bolus into the central veins as temperature is measured in femoral or brachial arteries. These devices calculate CO using temperature–time decay curves.

Thirty-four patients with septic shock were enrolled in a study that compared standard thermodilution with transpulmonary thermodilution [82] and another six patients with septic shock were studied comparing pulmonary DT-CO with transcutaneous measurements of indocyanine green concentration [83]. These studies demonstrated similar operating characteristics ( $r = 0.97$ , bias 0.68 l/min, SD 0.62 l/min and  $r = 0.98$ , bias 0.73 l/min, SD 1.04 l/min, respectively).

Similar correlations were noted in 60 patients receiving liver transplantation ( $R = 0.86$ ; bias = 0.13 l/min; SD 1.04 l/min) [84]. Nearly continuous TD-CO also demonstrated good correlation with intermittent TD-CO in six patients with septic shock ( $R = 0.93$ ; bias -0.43 l/min, SD 0.71 l/min) [83]. Dye dilution measurement of CO has also correlated well with TD-CO, albeit in small numbers of patients [85, 86].

Arterial pulse pressure waveform analysis measures area under the systolic portion of the arterial pulse wave from the end of diastole to the end of systolic ejection. This value is adjusted using a calibration factor for individual impedance derived from transpulmonary TD-CO. In 517 measurements performed in 24 patients with shock, one device yielded CO measures similar to pulmonary TD-CO measures ( $R = 0.89$ ; bias = 0.2 l/min; SD = 1.2 l/min) [87]. Another system using pulse power analysis to estimate CO, included some patients with shock, but precision data are not available for the shock sub-cohort [88]. Techniques using pulse pressure or pulse power analysis require frequent recalibration.

Studies of esophageal Doppler measurement of CO have also included some patients with shock (with  $R$  values less than 0.6) [89, 90]. A recent analysis of pooled patients, many of whom were not in shock, suggested a mean bias of esophageal Doppler of 0.19 l/min [91]. Esophageal Doppler-measured CO has not been studied well in patients with shock. Fick method CO was compared to TD-CO in 30 patients, some of whom had shock ( $R = 0.82$ ; bias = -0.34; SD = 1.77 l/min) [92]. Electrical bioimpedance measures of CO also show promise, but data are unavailable in large populations with shock [93].

#### *Jury recommendations*

**10. We do not recommend routine measurement of CO for patients with shock.**

**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

**11. We suggest considering echocardiography or measurement of CO for diagnosis in patients with clinical evidence of ventricular failure and persistent shock despite adequate fluid resuscitation.**

**Level 2 (weak); QoE moderate (B)**

#### Research questions:

1. Well-conducted studies are needed to understand whether in patients with shock the management titrated to pre-specified COs (e.g. normal), with or without a goal ScvO<sub>2</sub>, improve outcomes.
2. Investigations are required to define the best methods to measure CO (if knowledge of CO is shown to impact outcomes).

---

#### **Question 4: What markers of the regional and micro-circulation can be monitored and how can cellular function be assessed in shock?**

##### Rationale and evidence

Conventional physiologic parameters, such as blood pressure and clinical indices of regional organ perfusion may be insensitive indicators of alterations in tissue perfusion and microcirculatory flow. Increased blood lactate concentration in shock is traditionally ascribed to anaerobic glycolysis related to inadequate oxygen delivery. In perfusion-related metabolic acidosis, base deficit reflects the amount of base (mmol) required to titrate 1 l of whole blood to a normal pH, assuming normal physiological values of PaO<sub>2</sub>, PaCO<sub>2</sub> and temperature. The degree and duration of hyperlactacidemia, perfusion-related low pH, and base deficit have been correlated with the development of organ failure and a poor outcome [94, 95, 96, 97]. However, following restoration of normal arterial blood pressure, patients may still have significant maldistribution of blood flow in vital organs, a condition termed “cryptic shock”. Increasing evidence indicates that inhomogeneity in the regional circulation and microcirculation plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of organ dysfunction. Experimental work has shown that proinflammatory cytokines can induce heterogeneous microcirculatory abnormalities with changes in the activation state and shape of endothelial cells, alterations in vascular smooth muscle tone, activation of the clotting system and changes in red

and white blood cell deformability [98]. Measurements of regional [99, 100, 101] or micro-circulation [102, 103] are good predictors of outcome. Although the initial goal of hemodynamic resuscitation is restoring the macro-circulation, efforts directed at improving regional and micro-circulation might potentially result in improved outcome.

Methods that are currently available to monitor the regional circulation and oxygenation on the macroscopic level include tonometry, sublingual capnometry, laser Doppler flowmetry (mucosal perfusion), indocyanine green clearance and lidocaine metabolism. Techniques that monitor circulation on the microscopic level include orthogonal polarization spectral (OPS) imaging, intravital microscopy and near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS). However, with the exception of tonometry and capnometry (and perhaps NIRS and OPS), these tools remain experimental and are not routinely used in clinical practice.

Serum lactate level and base deficit are useful measurements in patients with shock.

Lactate levels can be rapidly, and reliably measured using blood gas analyzers or hand held analyzers [104, 105, 106]. In experimental and clinical conditions, serum lactate levels are strongly associated with tissue hypoxia [107, 108]. Other factors related to critical illness may affect lactate levels and should be taken into account in interpreting results [109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118]. Increased blood lactate levels and their failure to normalize blood lactate levels during treatment of shock have been associated with increased morbidity and mortality and can provide valuable clinical information [119, 120, 121]. Blow et al. found that early identification and aggressive resuscitation aimed at correcting persistent high serum lactate, improved survival and reduced morbidity in severe trauma patients [123]. Similarly, in patients with sepsis, trauma, and hemorrhage, base deficit is a useful guide to severity of illness and response to therapy [31, 97, 124]. However, similar to hyperlactatemia, low pH and base deficit are affected by non-hypoxic causes of metabolic acidosis, including renal and liver dysfunction, drug toxicity (e.g. cocaine), bicarbonate loss, hyperchloremia and hypothermia.

Until now only one randomized controlled single-center trial evaluated treatment intervention directed at correcting elevated lactate levels. This study showed a decrease in morbidity and hospital length of stay in post-cardiac surgery patients targeting oxygen delivery whenever lactate levels were increased or did not normalize [125]. Serial measurements of lactate levels might be useful to monitor response to treatment. To date, however, no randomized study investigated the clinical value of incorporating this parameter or base deficit in a *treatment protocol* of shock patients.

Alterations in regional circulation can be used to predict outcomes and monitor interventions

Several studies have demonstrated the prognostic value of gastric tonometry [99, 126]. Maynard et al. [127] studied 83 critically ill patients and demonstrated that a low gastric intramucosal pH (pHi), as determined by tonometry, predicted outcome with greater accuracy than conventional hemodynamic and metabolic variables. One study reported that gastric intramucosal acidosis in critically ill patients predicted multiple organ failure and death better than did systemic oxygen-derived variables or traditional markers of tissue oxygenation [101]. More recently [128], indocyanine green clearance and gastric tonometry were used to monitor regional perfusion during resuscitation in septic patients. In that study nonsurvivors had a lower indocyanine green clearance and higher gastric mucosal-arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> gap than survivors despite normalization of mean arterial pressure, pulmonary artery occlusion pressure and oxygen delivery. Debate exists as to whether or not gastric tonometry requires blockade of H<sub>2</sub> receptors [129, 130]. Enteral feeding can interfere with the CO<sub>2</sub> measurements [131].

Sublingual capnography is a technically simple, noninvasive, inexpensive method that is not affected by changes in gastric pH, and appears to provide potentially useful prognostic information on adequacy of resuscitation. Weil and co-workers investigated the feasibility and predictive value of sublingual PCO<sub>2</sub> measurements as a noninvasive and early indicator of systemic perfusion failure. In a study of patients presenting to the emergency department in a variety of shock states, they found that sublingual capnography was useful in differentiating between patients with circulatory shock and elevated lactate and patients without shock and normal lactate [132]. In critically ill patients, sublingual PCO<sub>2</sub> and the gradient between sublingual and arterial PCO<sub>2</sub> correlate with gastric tonometry findings and are significantly higher in non-survivors [133, 134, 135].

Does manipulation of regional variables improves outcome?

Although monitoring of regional circulations is a useful prognostic indicator, the evidence that therapy guided by these tools may affect outcome is still sparse and limited to gastric tonometry. Gutierrez et al. [136] showed in a large randomized, multicenter study that the maintenance of normal gastric pHi was associated with an improved outcome in the subset of patients whose gastric pHi at baseline was equal to or greater than 7.35, while no effect was observed in patients with a low (< 7.35) gastric pHi at baseline. The majority of other prospective, randomized studies have failed to show a benefit of resuscitation directed by gastric tonometry [137, 138, 139].



Alterations in microcirculation can be used to predict outcomes and monitor interventions

The clinical introduction of new microcirculatory imaging techniques such as OPS and sidestream dark-field imaging [140, 141, 142] have allowed direct observation of the microcirculation at the bedside and given a unique insight to the maldistribution of tissue perfusion associated with shock [141, 142, 143]. De Backer [102], by OPS imaging of sublingual microvascular blood flow, found that the density of all vessels and proportion of perfused small vessels (diameter  $\leq 20 \mu\text{m}$ ) were significantly less in septic patients than in healthy controls or in patients without sepsis. In another series of patients with septic shock, Sakr et al. [103] evaluated longitudinally the microcirculation during shock and observed that capillary perfusion rapidly improved in survivors as opposed to non-survivors, whether they died during acute circulatory failure or from multiple organ failure after the resolution of shock. OPS was applied to the sublingual microcirculation [143], in order to study the distributive defects in septic shock and to evaluate the efficacy of nitroglycerine in recruiting shunted microcirculation. This investigation showed that vasodilatory therapy was effective in correcting microcirculatory shut-down, but pressure-guided resuscitation was not, even though effective in restoring blood pressure.

In summary, these imaging tools have confirmed in humans the existence of microcirculatory defects heretofore observed directly only in animal models. These techniques can be used to identify the response to various therapeutic interventions. However, whether interventions specifically aimed at correcting regional or microcirculatory variables may improve outcome is still not determined.

#### *Jury recommendations:*

**12. We suggest serial measurements of lactates and/or base deficit as a predictor of outcome.**

**Level 2; QoE moderate (B)**

**13. We do not recommend routine use of gastric tonometry, sublingual capnography, orthogonal polarization spectral (OPS) imaging and other techniques to assess regional or micro-circulation.**

**Level 1; QoE (B)**

#### Research questions:

1. Investigations are required to understand if monitoring of regional- and micro-circulation should be incorporated in the randomized studies of treatment intervention in patients with shock.

## **Question 5: What is the evidence for using hemodynamic monitoring to direct therapy in shock?**

### Rationale and evidence

#### *Blood pressure*

Few studies have explored the accuracy of measuring blood pressure indirectly by auscultation or palpation in patients with shock. Significant differences between direct and indirect blood pressure measurements are evident with systolic blood pressure being higher by direct measurements and when vascular resistance is high [144]. Although cuff blood pressure measurement lacks precision in shock states, it is difficult to argue against checking blood pressure by non-invasive means in the initial evaluation.

#### *Skin temperature*

Changes in skin temperature may assist in the assessment of suspected hypoperfusion [145]. The temperature of the great toe is correlated with cardiac index and has prognostic value [146]. In critically ill patients the temperature gradient between the toe and the ambient temperature serves as a predictor of outcome [147]. In cardiogenic shock, the toe-ambient temperature gradient had a stronger correlation with cardiac index, stroke index, and oxygen transport than transcutaneous oxygen tension [148]. Cool skin temperature was found to have a positive predictive value of 39% for hypoperfusion and a negative predictive value of 92% [149]. When combined with low  $\text{HCO}_3^-$ , these values increased to 98% and 97% respectively.

Studies have demonstrated that clinicians are poor at determining CO and pulmonary artery wedge pressure from physical examination [80, 150]. The absence of clinical findings consistent with pulmonary congestion in a patient is not sufficient to rule out the diagnosis of cardiogenic shock [151].

In summary, clinical examination is applicable to all patients with shock, is low risk and potentially high yield in information, but its sensitivity and specificity are low, when interpreted in isolation. The favorable risk and cost profile render the physical examination mandatory in all patients suspected of suffering from shock.

#### *Jury recommendation:*

**14. a) We recommend frequent measurement of blood pressure and physical examination variables (including signs of hypoperfusion, urine output and mental status) in patients with a history and clinical findings suggestive of shock.**

**b) We recommend invasive blood pressure measurement in refractory shock.**  
**Level 1; QoE very low (D)**

---

**PAC versus no PAC**

Rationale and evidence

Following its introduction in the 1970s [152] the Pulmonary artery catheter (PAC) found widespread application in the ICU setting, despite the lack of formal evidence for usefulness [153]. Proponents argue that availability of CO and other hemodynamic variables enables improved diagnosis and management of circulatory instability. Critics emphasize complications associated with its use, inaccuracies in measurement and difficulties with data interpretation [154].

The ultimate test of any monitoring technology is whether its use results in improved outcomes for patients. This hypothesis can be tested in two ways by RCTs: by merely providing or not providing results to the clinician or by coupling the monitoring measurements with an explicit management strategy.

In a large prospective cohort study employing a propensity score to account for selection bias, Connors et al. found that patients receiving a PAC had a higher 30-day mortality, a higher mean cost of hospital stay and a longer length of stay in the ICU [154]. Mackirdy et al. reported similar results [155]. In non-cardiac surgery patients, Polanczyk reported a significant increase in cardiac complications in patients with a PAC [156]. Two recent papers refuted these results [157, 158]. In a retrospective cohort of patients with ARDS, Vieillard-Baron showed a higher crude mortality in patients monitored with a PAC, an effect which disappeared on adjustment for vasopressor therapy [159]; this was not a covariate in Connor's analysis [154].

Four recent large RCTs have been performed to analyze the effect of PAC on mortality and morbidity of critically ill patients [160, 161, 162, 163]. Rhodes et al. found no mortality difference, but a greater amount of fluid was administered to patients in the PAC group in the first 24 h and the incidence of acute renal failure and thrombocytopenia was greater at day 3 post-randomization [160]. No major complications were directly attributable to PAC insertion.

In a multi-center randomized trial, 676 patients with shock and/or ARDS were assigned to either receive a PAC or not [161]. The use of a PAC did not affect 28-day mortality or duration of stay in the ICU or hospital, although a trend towards reduced mortality with the use of PAC in larger centers was suggested. A recent randomized clinical trial by Harvey et al. confirmed these findings [162].

In one of the trials by the ARDS network, 1000 patients with ALI/ARDS were randomized to either PAC or CVC

monitoring and then, in a factorial design, to a fluid-liberal or fluid-conservative goal-directed strategy [163]. No differences in mortality, time on ventilator, or time in the ICU were found between PAC and CVC.

A meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of the PAC (13 RCTs; 5,051 patients) [77] included six studies designed in critical care without goal-directed therapy (GDT; three discussed above [160, 161, 162], one older study [164] and two small studies in perioperative patients [165, 166]. This meta-analysis confirmed that PAC per se neither increased overall mortality or days in hospital nor conferred benefit [77].

*Jury recommendation:*

**15. We do not recommend the routine use of the pulmonary artery catheter for patients in shock.**  
**Level 1; QoE high (A)**

---

**Early goal-directed therapy**

Rationale and evidence

It is reasonable to hypothesize that more detailed monitoring of tissue hypoperfusion and interventions to increase tissue oxygenation might improve outcome.

Rivers et al. demonstrated in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock that early aggressive resuscitation guided by continuous ScvO<sub>2</sub>, CVP, and MAP monitoring reduced 28-day mortality rates from 46.5% to 30.5% [31]. Patients were randomized to either early GDT or usual care. The early GDT group received more fluids, more frequent dobutamine, and more blood transfusion during the first 6 h. Faster and greater improvement of organ functions occurred in the GDT group.

*Jury recommendation*

**16. We recommend instituting goal-directed therapy without delay, in patients presenting with septic shock (within 6 h or ideally less), particularly where ScvO<sub>2</sub> is below 70%**  
**Level 1; QoE moderate (B)**

---

**PAC with GDT versus no PAC**

Rationale and evidence

In observational cohorts of ICU patients, mortality, duration of ICU stay and cost of treatment were all significantly reduced in patients with 'supranormal' DO<sub>2</sub> values,

leading to the hypothesis that therapy guided by supranormal cardiac index (CI) and DO<sub>2</sub> values would achieve similar benefits.

Three RCTs assessing the effect of perioperative increases in DO<sub>2</sub> in high-risk surgical patients reported positive effects on mortality and morbidity [125, 167, 168, 169].

In critically ill patients, three RCTs comparing PAC with or without GDT to supranormal DO<sub>2</sub> found negative results [75, 76, 77, 78]. Gattinoni et al. randomly assigned 762 critically ill patients with a PAC to one of three groups: normal CI (control), increased CI group, and increased SvO<sub>2</sub> group [78]. At ICU discharge, mortality was 48.4% in the control group, 48.6% in the CI group and 52.1% in the SvO<sub>2</sub> group. The number of dysfunctional organs and the ICU length of stay were similar among survivors in the three groups. Similar results were observed in another study [169].

Potential deleterious effects of aggressive efforts to increase DO<sub>2</sub> were found in a study of 100 patients randomly assigned (when volume expansion failed to increase CI,

DO<sub>2</sub> and oxygen consumption) to incremental dobutamine until all goals were achieved or to control group receiving PAC without GDT [75]. The in-hospital mortality was lower in the control group. The study has been criticized for the high dosage of dobutamine used.

The timing of the initiation of GDT in the natural history of shock appears important. Rivers enrolled patients within 1.5 h of their arrival at the emergency department [31]. In contrast, patients in the other studies were enrolled while in the ICU, often after substantial periods of time [75, 169].

No consensus exists for a standard GDT applied to critically ill ICU patients suffering from septic shock and/or ARDS.

#### *Jury recommendation*

**17. We do not recommend targeting supranormal oxygen delivery in patients with shock.**  
**Level 1; QoE high (A)**

## References

1. GRADE working group (2004) Grading quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. *BMJ* 328:1490–1498
2. Levy MM, Fink MP, Marshall JC, Abraham E, Angus D, Cook D, Cohen J, Opal SM, Vincent JL, Ramsay G (2003) 2001 SCCM/ESICM/ACCP/ATS/SIS International Sepsis Definitions Conference. *Intensive Care Med* 29:530–538
3. Annane D, Aegerter P, Jars-Guincestre MC, Guidet B (2003) Current epidemiology of septic shock: the CUB-Rea Network. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 168:165–172
4. Linde-Zwirble WT, Angus D (2004) Severe sepsis epidemiology: sampling, selection, and society. *Crit Care* 8:222–226
5. Rangel-Frausto MS, Pittet D, Costigan M, Hwang T, Davis CS, Wenzel RP (1995) The natural history of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). A prospective study. *JAMA* 273:117–112
6. Brun-Buisson C, Doyon F, Carlet J, Dellamonica P, Gouin F, Lepoutre A, Mercier JC, Offenstadt G, Regnier B (1995) Incidence, risk factors, and outcome of severe sepsis and septic shock in adults. A multicenter prospective study in intensive care units. French ICU Group for Severe Sepsis. *JAMA* 274:968–974
7. Brun-Buisson C, Doyon F, Carlet J (1996) Bacteremia and severe sepsis in adults: a multicenter prospective survey in ICUs and wards of 24 hospitals. French Bacteremia-Sepsis Study Group. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 154:617–624
8. Salvo I, de Cian W, Musicco M, Langer M, Padiana R, Wolfier A, Montani C, Magni E (1995) The Italian SEPSIS study: preliminary results on the incidence and evolution of SIRS, sepsis, severe sepsis and septic shock. *Intensive Care Med* 21:S244–S249
9. Brun-Buisson C, Meshaka P, Pinton P, Vallet B (2004) EPISEPSIS: a reappraisal of the epidemiology and outcome of severe sepsis in French intensive care units. *Intensive Care Med* 30:580–588
10. Alberti C, Brun-Buisson C, Burcharde H, Martin C, Goodman S, Artigas A, Sicignano A, Palazzo M, Moreno R, Boulme R, Lepage E, Le Gall R (2002) Epidemiology of sepsis and infection in ICU patients from an international multicentre cohort study. *Intensive Care Med* 28:108–121
11. van Gestel A, Bakker J, Veraart CP, van Hout BA (2004) Prevalence and incidence of severe sepsis in Dutch intensive care units. *Crit Care* 8:R153–R162
12. Silva E, Pedro MdA, Sogayar AC (2004) Brazilian Sepsis Epidemiological Study (BASES study). *Crit Care* 8:R251–R260
13. Vincent J-L, Sakr Y, Sprung CL, Ranieri VM, Reinhart K, Gerlach H, Moreno R, Carlet J, Le Gall JR, Payen D, Sepsis Occurrence in Acutely Ill Patients Investigators (2006). Sepsis in European intensive care units: Results of the SOAP study. *Crit Care Med* 34:344–353
14. Flaatten H (2004) Epidemiology of sepsis in Norway in 1999. *Crit Care* 8:R180–R184
15. Hands ME, Rutherford JD, Muller JE (1989) The in-hospital development of cardiogenic shock after myocardial infarction: incidence, predictors of occurrence, outcome and prognostic factors. The MILIS Study Group. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 14:40–46
16. Holmes DRJ, Bates ER, Kleiman NS, Sadowski Z, Horgan JH, Morris DC, Califf RM, Berger PB, Topol EJ (1995) Contemporary reperfusion therapy for cardiogenic shock: the GUSTO-I trial experience. The GUSTO-I Investigators. Global Utilization of Streptokinase and Tissue Plasminogen Activator for Occluded Coronary Arteries. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 26:668–674

17. Goldberg RJ, Samad NA, Yarzebski J, Gurwitz J, Bigelow C, Gore JM (1999) Temporal trends in cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 340:1162–1168
18. Goldberg RJ, Gore JM, Thompson CA, Gurwitz JH (2001) Recent magnitude of and temporal trends (1994–1997) in the incidence and hospital death rates of cardiogenic shock complicating acute myocardial infarction: the second national registry of myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J* 141:65–72
19. Babaev A, Frederick PD, Pasta DJ, Every N, Sichrovsky T, Hochman JS; NRM Investigators (2005) Trends in management and outcomes of patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. *JAMA* 294:448–454
20. Kohsaka S, Menon V, Lowe AM, Lange M, Dzavik V, Sleeper LA, Hochman JS; SHOCK Investigators (2005) Systemic inflammatory response syndrome after acute myocardial infarction complicated by cardiogenic shock. *Arch Intern Med* 165:1643–1650
21. Yocum MW, Butterfield JH, Klein JS, Volcheck GW, Schroeder DR, Silverstein MD (1999) Epidemiology of anaphylaxis in Olmsted County: A population-based study. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 104:271–273
22. Peng MM, Jick H (2004) A population-based study of the incidence, cause, and severity of anaphylaxis in the United Kingdom. *Arch Intern Med* 164:317–319. An epidemiologic study of severe anaphylactic and anaphylactoid reactions among hospital patients: methods and overall risks. The International Collaborative Study of Severe Anaphylaxis. *Epidemiology* 1998;9:141–146
23. Schulman AM, Claridge JA, Carr G, Diesen DL, Young JS (2004) Predictors of patients who will develop prolonged occult hypoperfusion following blunt trauma. *J Trauma* 57:795–800
24. Huang YC (2005) Monitoring oxygen delivery in the critically ill. *Chest* 128(5 Suppl 2):554S–560S
25. Leach RM, Treacher DF (2002) The pulmonary physician in critical care 2: oxygen delivery and consumption in the critically ill. *Thorax* 57:170–177
26. Spronk PE, Zandstra DF, Ince C (2004) Bench-to-bedside review: sepsis is a disease of the microcirculation. *Crit Care* 8:462–468
27. Henning RJ, Weil MH, Weiner F (1982) Blood lactate as prognostic indicator of survival in patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Circ Shock* 9:307–315
28. Hotchkiss RS, Karl IE (1992) Reevaluation of the role of cellular hypoxia and bioenergetic failure in sepsis. *JAMA* 267:1503–1510
29. Brealy D, Brand M, Hargreaves I, Heales S, Land J, Smonenski R, Davies NA, Cooper CE, Singer M (2002) Association between mitochondrial dysfunction and severity and outcome of septic shock. *Lancet* 360:219–223
30. Stern SA, Dronen SC, Birrer P, Wang X (1993) Effect of blood pressure on hemorrhage volume and survival in a near-fatal hemorrhage model incorporating a vascular injury. *Ann Emerg Med* 22:155–163
31. Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, Ressler J, Muzzin A, Knoblich B, Peterson E, Tomlanovich M, Early Goal-Directed Therapy Collaborative Group (2001). Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. *N Engl J Med* 345:1368–1377
32. Landry DW, Oliver JA (2001) The pathogenesis of vasodilatory shock. *N Engl J Med* 345:588–595
33. VanderMeer TJ, Wang H, Fink MP (1995) Endotoxemia causes ileal mucosal acidosis in the absence of mucosal hypoxia in a normodynamic porcine model of septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 23:1217–1226
34. Singer M (2005) Metabolic failure. *Crit Care Med* 33(12 Suppl):S539–42
35. Jarrar D, Chaudry IH, Wang P (1999) Organ dysfunction following hemorrhage and sepsis: mechanisms and therapeutic approaches. *Int J Mol Med* 4:575–583
36. Wu J, Kaufman RJ (2006) From acute ER stress to physiological roles of the Unfolded Protein Response. *Cell Death Differ* 13:374–384
37. Ravagnan L, Roumier T, Kroemer G (2002) Mitochondria, the killer organelles and their weapons. *J Cell Physiol* 192:131–137
38. Orrenius S, Zhivotovsky B, Nicotera P (2003) Regulation of cell death: the calcium–apoptosis link. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 4:552–565
39. Finkel T, Holbrook NJ (2000) Oxidants, oxidative stress and the biology of ageing. *Nature* 408:239–247
40. de Werra I, Jaccard C, Corradin SB, Chiolerio R, Yersin B, Gallati H, Assicot M, Bohuon C, Baumgartner JD, Glauser MP, Heumann D (1997) Cytokines, nitrite/nitrate, soluble tumor necrosis factor receptors, and procalcitonin concentrations: comparisons in patients with septic shock, cardiogenic shock, and bacterial pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 25:607–613
41. Geppert A, Steiner A, Zorn G, Delle-Karth G, Koreny M, Haumer M, Siostrzonek P, Huber K, Heinz G (2002) Multiple organ failure in patients with cardiogenic shock is associated with high plasma levels of interleukin-6. *Crit Care Med* 30:1987–1994
42. Wanner GA, Keel M, Steckholzer U, Beier W, Stocker R, Ertel W (2000) Relationship between procalcitonin plasma levels and severity of injury, sepsis, organ failure, and mortality in injured patients. *Crit Care Med* 28:950–957
43. Spielmann S, Kerner T, Ahlers O, Keh D, Gerlach M, Gerlach H (2001) Early detection of increased tumour necrosis factor alpha (TNFalpha) and soluble TNF receptor protein plasma levels after trauma reveals associations with the clinical course. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 45:364–370
44. Bickell WH, Wall MJ Jr, Pepe PE, Martin RR, Ginger VF, Allen MK, Mattox KL (1994) Immediate versus delayed fluid resuscitation for hypotensive patients with penetrating torso injuries. *N Engl J Med* 331:1105–1109
45. G. Halperin JL, Hiratzka LF, Hunt SA, Jacobs AK, Ornato JP (2004) Guidelines for management of patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Revise the 1999 Guidelines for the Management of patients with acute myocardial infarction). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 44:E1–E211
46. LeDoux D, Astiz ME, Carpati CM, Rackow EC (2000) Effects of perfusion pressure on tissue perfusion in septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 28:2729–2732
47. Bourgoin A, Leone M, Delmas A, Garnier F, Albanese J, Martin C (2005) Increasing mean arterial pressure in patients with septic shock: effects on oxygen variables and renal function. *Crit Care Med* 33:780–786
48. Opie LH (1994) Ventricular function. In: *The heart: physiology from cell to circulation*. Lippincott-Raven, Philadelphia, pp 343–389
49. Bendjelid K, Romand JA (2003) Fluid responsiveness in mechanically ventilated patients: a review of indices used in intensive care. *Intensive Care Med* 29:352–360
50. Perel A (2005) The physiological basis of arterial pressure variation during positive-pressure ventilation. *Réanimation* 14:162–171
51. Michard F and Teboul JL (2002) Predicting fluid responsiveness in ICU patients. A critical analysis of the evidence. *Chest* 121:2000–2008



52. Pinsky MR, Payen D (2005) Functional hemodynamic monitoring. *Critical Care* 9:566–572
53. Vincent JL, Weil MH (2006) Fluid challenge revisited. *Crit Care Med* 34:1333–1337
54. Magder S, Lagonidis D (1999) Effectiveness of albumin versus normal saline as a test of volume responsiveness in post-cardiac surgery patients. *J Crit Care* 14:164–171
55. Boulain T, Achard JM, Teboul JL, Richard C, Perrotin D, Ginies G (2002) Changes in blood pressure induced by passive leg raising predict response to fluid loading in critically ill patients. *Chest* 121:1245–1252
56. Dellinger RP, Carlet JM, Masur H, Gerlach H, Calandra T, Cohen J, Gea-Banacloche J, Keh D, Marshall JC, Parker MM, Ramsay G, Zimmerman JL, Vincent JL, Levy MM, Surviving Sepsis Campaign Management Guidelines Committee (2004) Surviving sepsis campaign guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 32:858–873
57. ARDS Network Fluid and Catheter Treatment Trial (2006) Published at [www.nejm.org](http://www.nejm.org), May 21, (10.1056/NEJMoa062200)
58. Magder S (2006) Central venous pressure monitoring. *Curr Opin Crit Care* (in press)
59. Magder S, Georgiadis G, Cheong T (1992) Respiratory variations in right atrial pressure predict the response to fluid challenge. *J Crit Care* 7:76–85
60. Kumar A, Anel R, Bunnell E, Habet K, Zanotti S, Marshall S, et al (2004) Pulmonary artery occlusion pressure and central venous pressure fail to predict ventricular filling volume, cardiac performance, or the response to volume infusion in normal subjects. *Crit Care Med* 32(3):691–699
61. Calvin JE, Driedger AA, Sibbald WJ (1981) The hemodynamic effect of rapid fluid infusion in critically ill patients. *Surgery* 90:61–76
62. Tousignant CP, Walsh F, Mazer CD (2000) The use of transesophageal echocardiography for preload assessment in critically ill patients. *Anesth Analg* 90:351–355
63. Hoeft A, Schorn B, Weyland A, Scholz M, Buhre W, Stepanek E, Allen SJ, Sonntag H (1994) Bedside assessment of intravascular volume status in patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery. *Anesthesiology* 81:76–86
64. Cheung AT, Savino JS, Weiss SJ, Aukburg SJ, Berlin JA (1994) Echocardiographic and hemodynamic indexes of left ventricular preload in patients with normal and abnormal ventricular function. *Anesthesiology* 81:376–387
65. Kumar A, Anel R, Bunnell E, Zanotti S, Habet K, Haery C (2004) Preload-independent mechanisms contribute to increased stroke volume following large volume saline infusion in normal volunteers: a prospective interventional study. *Crit Care* 8:R128–R136
66. Coriat P, Vrillon M, Perel A, Baron JF, Le Bret F, Saada M, Viars P (1994) A comparison of systolic blood pressure variations and echocardiographic estimates of end-diastolic left ventricular size in patients after aortic surgery. *Anesth Analg* 78:46–53
67. Tavernier B, Tavernier B, Makhotine O, Lebuffle G, Dupont J, Scherpereel P (1998) Systolic pressure variation as a guide to fluid therapy in patients with sepsis-induced hypotension. *Anesthesiology* 89:1313–21
68. Michard F, Boussat S, Chemla D, Anguel N, Mercat A, Lecarpentier Y, Richard C, Pinsky MR, Teboul JL (2000) Relation between respiratory changes in arterial pulse pressure and fluid responsiveness in septic patients with acute circulatory failure. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 62:134–138
69. Reuter DA, Goepfert MS, Goresch T, Schmoekel M, Kilger E, Goetz AE (2005) Assessing fluid responsiveness during open chest conditions. *Br J Anaesth* 94:318–323
70. Preisman S, Kogan S, Berkenstadt H, Perel A (2005) Predicting fluid responsiveness in patients undergoing cardiac surgery: functional hemodynamic parameters including the Respiratory Systolic Variation Test and static preload indicators. *Br J Anaesth* 95:746–755
71. Reuter D, Felbinger TW, Kilger F, Schmidt C, Lamm P, Goetz AE (2002) Optimizing fluid therapy in mechanically ventilated patients after cardiac surgery by on-line monitoring of left ventricular stroke volume variations: a comparison to aortic systolic pressure variations. *Br J Anaesth* 88:124–126
72. Berkenstadt H, Margalit N, Hadani M, Friedman Z, Segal E, Villa Y, Perel A (2001) Stroke volume variation as a predictor of fluid responsiveness in patients undergoing brain surgery. *Anesth Analg* 92:984–989
73. Reuter DA, Kirchner A, Felbinger TW, Weis FC, Kilger E, Lamm P, Goetz AE (2003) Usefulness of left ventricular stroke volume variation to assess fluid responsiveness in patients with reduced cardiac function. *Crit Care Med* 31:1399–404
74. Monnet X, Rienzo M, Osman D, Anguel N, Richard C, Pinsky MR, Teboul JL (2006) Passive leg raising predicts fluid responsiveness in the critically ill. *Crit Care Med* (in press)
75. Hayes MA, Timmins AC, Yau EH, Palazzo M, Hinds CJ, Watson D (1994) Elevation of systemic oxygen delivery in the treatment of critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 330:1717–1722
76. Poeze M, Greve JW, Ramsay G (2005) Meta-analysis of hemodynamic optimization: relationship to methodological quality. *Crit Care* 9:R771–9
77. Shah MR, Hasselblad V, Stevenson LW, Binanay C, O'Connor CM, Sopko G, Califf RM (2005) Impact of the pulmonary artery catheter in critically ill patients. *JAMA* 294:1664–1669
78. Gattinoni L, Brazzi L, Pelosi P, Latini R, Tognoni G, Pesenti A, Fumagalli R (1995) A trial of goal-directed hemodynamic therapy in critically ill patients. *N Engl J Med* 333:1025–1032
79. Eisenberg PR, Jaffe AS, Schuster DP (1984) Clinical evaluation compared to pulmonary artery catheterization in the hemodynamic assessment of critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 12:549–553
80. Bayliss J, Norell M, Ryan A, Thurston M, Sutton GC (1983) Bedside haemodynamic monitoring: experience in a general hospital. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 287(6386):187–190
81. Joseph MX, Disney PJ, DaCosta R, Hutchison SJ (2004) Transthoracic echocardiography to identify or exclude cardiac cause of shock. *Chest* 126:1592–1597
82. Sakka SG, Reinhart K, Meier-Hellman A (1999) Comparison of pulmonary artery and arterial thermodilution cardiac output in critically ill patients. *Intensive Care Med* 25:843–846
83. Sakka SG, Reinhart K, Weigsheider K, Meier-Hellman A (2002) Comparison of cardiac output and circulatory blood volumes by transpulmonary thermo-dye dilution and transcutaneous indocyanine green measurement in critically ill patients. *Chest* 121:559–65
84. Della Rocca J, Costa MG, Coccio C, Pompei L, Pietropaoli P (2002) Preload and haemodynamic assessment during liver transplantation: a comparison between the pulmonary artery catheter and transpulmonary indicator dilution techniques. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 19:868–875
85. Holm C, Melcer B, Horbrand F (2001) Arterial thermodilution: an alternative to pulmonary artery catheter for cardiac output assessment in burn patients. *Burns* 27:161–166
86. Krenn CG, Krafft P, Shaefer B (2000) Effects of positive end-expiratory pressure on hemodynamics and indocyanine green kinetics in patients after orthotopic liver transplantation. *Crit Care Med* 28:1760–1765

87. Goedje O, Friedl R, Hannekum A (2001) Accuracy of beat-to-beat cardiac output monitoring by pulse contour analysis in hemodynamical unstable patients. *Med Sci Monit* 7:1344–1350
88. Pittman J, Bar-Yosef S, SumPing J, Sherwood M, Mark J (2005) Continuous cardiac output monitoring with pulse contour analysis: a comparison with lithium indicator dilution cardiac output measurement. *Crit Care Med* 33:2015–2021
89. Sharma J, Bhise M, Singh A, Mehta Y, Trehan N (2005) Hemodynamic measurements after cardiac surgery: transesophageal Doppler versus pulmonary artery catheter. *Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 19:746–750
90. Su NY, Huang CJ, Tsai P, et al (2002) Cardiac output measurement during cardiac surgery: esophageal Doppler versus pulmonary artery catheter. *Acta Anaesthesiol Sin* 40:127–133
91. Dark PM, Singer M (2004) The validity of trans-esophageal Doppler ultrasonography as a measure of cardiac output in critically ill adults. *Intensive Care Med* 30:2060–2066
92. Baylor P (2006) Lack of agreement between thermodilution and fick methods in the measurement of cardiac output. *J Intensive Care Med* 21:93–98
93. Weiss S, Calloway E, Cairo J, Grnager W, Winslow J (1995) Comparison of cardiac output measurements by thermodilution and thoracic electrical bioimpedance in critically ill versus non-critically ill patients. *Am J Emerg Med* 13:626–631
94. Claridge JA, Crabtree TD, Pelletier SJ, Butler K, Sawyer RG, Young JS (2000) Persistent occult hypoperfusion is associated with a significant increase in infection rate and mortality in major trauma patients. *J Trauma* 48:8–14
95. Bakker J, Gris P, Coffernils M, Kahn RJ, Vincent JL (1996) Serial blood lactate levels can predict the development of multiple organ failure following septic shock. *Am J Surg* 171:221–226
96. Mankis P, Jankowski S, Zhang H (1995). Correlation of serial blood lactate levels to organ failure and mortality after trauma. *Am J Emerg Med* 13:619–22
97. Friese RS, Shafi S, Gentilello LM (2006) Pulmonary artery catheter use is associated with reduced mortality in severely injured patients: a national trauma data bank analysis of 53,312 patients. *Crit Care Med* 34:1597–1601
98. Vicaut E, Hou X, Paue D, Bousseau A, Tedgui A (1991) Acute effects of tumor necrosis factor on the microcirculation in rat cremaster muscle. *J Clin Invest* 87:1537–1540
99. Maynard N, Bihari D, Beale R, Smithies M, Baldock G, Mason R, Mc Coll I (1993) Assessment of splanchnic oxygenation by gastric tonometry in patients with acute circulatory failure. *JAMA* 270:1203–1210
100. Levy B, Gawalkiewicz P, Vallet B, Briancin S, Nace L, Bollaert PE (2003) Gastric capnometry with air-automated tonometry predicts outcome in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 31:474–480
101. Friedman G, Berlot G, Kahn RJ, Vincent JL (1995) Combined measurements of blood lactate concentrations and gastric intramucosal pH in patients with severe sepsis. *Crit Care Med* 23:1184–1193
102. De Backer D, Creteur J, Preiser JC, Dubois MJ, Vincent JL (2002) Microvascular blood flow is altered in patients with sepsis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 166:98–104
103. Sakr Y, Dubois MJ, De Backer D, Creteur J, Vincent JL (2004) Persistent microvascular alterations are associated with organ failure and death in patients with septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 32:1825–1831
104. Brinkert W, Rommes JH, Bakker J (1999) Lactate measurements in critically ill patients with a hand-held analyser. *Intensive Care Med* 25(9):966–969
105. Boldt J, Kumle B, Suttner S, Haisch G (2001) Point-of-care (POC) testing of lactate in the intensive care patient. Accuracy, reliability, and costs of different measurement systems. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 45:194–199
106. Noordally O, Vincent JL (1999) Evaluation of a new, rapid lactate analyzer in critical care. *Intensive Care Med* 25:508–513
107. Cain SM (1965) Appearance of excess lactate in anesthetized dogs during anemic and hypoxic hypoxia. *Am J Physiol* 209:604–608
108. Ronco JJ, Fenwick JC, Tweeddale MG, Wiggs BR, Phang PT, Cooper DJ, Cunningham KF, Russell JA, Walley KR (1993) Identification of the critical oxygen delivery for anaerobic metabolism in critically ill septic and nonseptic humans. *JAMA* 270:1724–1730
109. James JH, Luchette FA, McCarter FD, Fischer JE (1999) Lactate is an unreliable indicator of tissue hypoxia in injury or sepsis. *Lancet* 354:505–508
110. Luchette FA, Friend LA, Brown CC, Upputuri RK, James JH (1998) Increased skeletal muscle Na<sup>+</sup>, K<sup>+</sup>-ATPase activity as a cause of increased lactate production after hemorrhagic shock. *J Trauma* 44:796–801
111. Levy B, Gibot S, Franck P, Cravoisy A, Bollaert PE (2005) Relation between muscle Na<sup>+</sup>/K<sup>+</sup> ATPase activity and raised lactate concentrations in septic shock: a prospective study. *Lancet* 365(9462):871–875
112. Revelly JP, Tappy L, Martinez A, Bollmann M, Cayeux MC, Berger MM, Chioloro RL (2005) Lactate and glucose metabolism in severe sepsis and cardiogenic shock. *Crit Care Med* 33:2235–2240
113. Chioloro R, Tappy L, Gillet M, Revelly JP, Roth H, Cayeux C, Schneiter P, Leverve X (1999) Effect of major hepatectomy on glucose and lactate metabolism. *Ann Surg* 229:505–513
114. Murphy ND, Kodakat SK, Wendon JA, Jooste CA, Muiesan P, Rela M, Heaton ND (2001) Liver and intestinal lactate metabolism in patients with acute hepatic failure undergoing liver transplantation. *Crit Care Med* 29:2111–2118
115. Levraut J, Ciebia JP, Chave S, Rabary O, Jambou P, Carles M, Grimaud D (1998) Mild hyperlactatemia in stable septic patients is due to impaired lactate clearance rather than overproduction. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 157(4, Pt.1):1021–1026
116. Mustafa I, Roth H, Hanafiah A, Hakim T, Anwar M, Siregar E, Leverve XM (2003) Effect of cardiopulmonary bypass on lactate metabolism. *Intensive Care Med* 29:1279–1285
117. Bollmann MD, Revelly JP, Tappy L, Berger MM, Schaller MD, Cayeux MC, Martinez A, Chioloro RL (2004) Effect of bicarbonate and lactate buffer on glucose and lactate metabolism during hemodiafiltration in patients with multiple organ failure. *Intensive Care Med* 30:1103–1110
118. Cole L, Bellomo R, Baldwin I, Hayhoe M, Ronco C (2003) The impact of lactate-buffered high-volume hemofiltration on acid-base balance. *Intensive Care Med* 29:1113–1120
119. Nguyen HB, Rivers EP, Knoblich BP, Jacobsen G, Muzzin A, Ressler JA, Tomlanovich MC (2004) Early lactate clearance is associated with improved outcome in severe sepsis and septic shock. *Crit Care Med* 32:1637–1642
120. Smith I, Kumar P, Molloy S, Rhodes A, Newman PJ, Grounds RM, Bennett ED (2001) Base excess and lactate as prognostic indicators for patients admitted to intensive care. *Intensive Care Med* 27:74–83
121. Husain FA, Martin MJ, Mullenix PS, Steele SR, Elliott DC (2003) Serum lactate and base deficit as predictors of mortality and morbidity. *Am J Surg* 185:485–491

122. Cerovic O, Golubovic V, Spec-Marn A, Kremzar B, Vidmar G (2003) Relationship between injury severity and lactate levels in severely injured patients. *Intensive Care Med* 29:1300–1305
123. Blow O, Magliore L, Claridge JA, Butler K, Young JS (1999) The golden hour and the silver day: detection and correction of occult hypoperfusion within 24 hours improves outcome from major trauma. *J Trauma* 47:964–969
124. Estenssoro E, Dubin A, Laffaire E, Canales H, Saenz G, Moseinco M, Pozo M, Gomez A, Baredes N, Jannello G, Osanik J (2002). Incidence, clinical course, and outcome in 217 patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Crit Care Med* 30:2450–2456
125. Polonen P, Ruokonen E, Hippelainen M, Poyhonen M, Takala J (2000) A prospective, randomized study of goal-oriented hemodynamic therapy in cardiac surgical patients. *Anesth Analg* 90:1052–1059
126. Gutierrez G, Bismar H, Dantzker DR, Silva N (1992) Comparison of gastric intramucosal pH with measures of oxygen transport and consumption in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 20:451–457
127. Maynard ND, Bihari DJ, Dalton RN, Beale R, Smithies MN, Mason RC (1997) Liver function and splanchnic ischemia in critically ill patients. *Chest* 111:180–187
128. Poeze M, Solberg BC, Greve JW, Ramsay G (2005) Monitoring global volume-related hemodynamic or regional variables after initial resuscitation: What is a better predictor of outcome in critically ill septic patients? *Crit Care Med* 33:2494–500
129. Heard SO, Helmsmoortel CM, Kent JC, Shahnarian A, Fink MP (1991) Gastric tonometry in healthy volunteers: effect of ranitidine on calculated intramural pH. *Crit Care Med* 19:271–274
130. Calvet X, Baigorry F, Duarte M, Joseph D, Saura P, Mas A, Royo C, Artigas A (1997) Effect of sucralfate on gastric intramucosal pH in critically ill patients. *Intensive Care Med* 23:738–742
131. Marik PE, Lorenzana A (1996) Effect of tube feedings on the measurement of gastric intramucosal pH. *Crit Care Med* 24:1498–1500
132. Weil MH, Nakagawa Y, Tang W, Sato Y, Ercoli F, Finegan R, Grayman G, Bisera J (1999) Sublingual capnometry: a new noninvasive measurement for diagnosis and quantitation of severity of circulatory shock. *Crit Care Med* 27:1225–1229
133. Marik PE (2001) Sublingual capnography: a clinical validation study. *Chest* 120:923–927
134. Rackow EC, O'Neil P, Astiz ME, Carpati CM (2001) Sublingual capnometry and indexes of tissue perfusion in patients with circulatory failure. *Chest* 120:1633–1638
135. Marik PE, Bankov A (2003) Sublingual capnometry versus traditional markers of tissue oxygenation in critically ill patients. *Crit Care Med* 31:818–822
136. Gutierrez G, Palizas F, Doglio G, Wainsztein N, Galesio A, Pacin J, Dubin A, Schiavi E, Jorge M, Pusajo J, Klein F, San Roman E, Dorfman B, Shottlender J, Giniger R (1992) Gastric intramucosal pH as a therapeutic index of tissue oxygenation in critically ill patients. *Lancet* 339:195–199
137. Gomersall CD, Joynt GM, Freebairn RC, Hung V, Buckley TA, Oh TE (2000) Resuscitation of critically ill patients based on the results of gastric tonometry: a prospective, randomized, controlled trial. *Crit Care Med* 28:607–614
138. Ivatury RR, Simon RJ, Islam S, Fugie A, Rohman M, Stahl WM (1996) A prospective randomized study of end points of resuscitation after major trauma: global oxygen transport indices versus organ-specific gastric mucosal pH. *J Am Coll Surg* 183:145–154
139. Group TMTCT (2005) Splanchnic hypoperfusion-directed therapies in trauma: a prospective, randomized trial. *Am Surg* 71:252–260
140. Ince C (2005) The microcirculation is the motor of sepsis. *Crit Care* 9 Suppl 4:S13–19
141. Groner W, Winkelmann JW, Harris AG, Ince C, Bouma GJ, Messmer K, Nadeau RG (1999) Orthogonal polarization spectral imaging: a new method for study of the microcirculation. *Nat Med* 5:1209–1212
142. Ince C (2005) Sidestream dark field (SDF) imaging: an improved technique to observe sublingual microcirculation. *Crit Care* 8 [Suppl 1]:P72
143. Spronk PE, Ince C, Gardien MJ, Mathura KR, Oudemans-van Straaten HM, Zandstra DF (2002) Nitroglycerin in septic shock after intravascular volume resuscitation. *Lancet* 360(9343):1395–1396
144. Cohn JN (1997) Blood pressure measurement in shock. Mechanism of inaccuracy in auscultatory and palpatory methods. *JAMA* 199:118–122
145. Ibsen B (1968) Treatment of shock with vasodilators and measuring of skin temperature of the big toe. *Eksp Khir Anesteziol* 13:37–41
146. Joly HR, Weil MH (1969) Temperature of the great toe as an indication of the severity of shock. *Circulation* 39:131–138
147. Henning RJ, et al. (1979) Measurement of toe temperature for assessing the severity of acute circulatory failure. *Surg Gynecol Obstet* 149:1–7
148. Vincent JL, Moraine JJ, van der Linden P (1988) Toe temperature versus transcutaneous oxygen tension monitoring during acute circulatory failure. *Intensive Care Med* 14:64–68
149. Kaplan LJ, McPartland K, Santora TA, Trooskin SZ (2001) Start with a subjective assessment of skin temperature to identify hypoperfusion in intensive care unit patients. *J Trauma* 50:620–627
150. Connors AF Jr, Dawson NV, Shaw PK, Montenegro HD, Nara AR, Martin L (1990). Hemodynamic status in critically ill patients with and without acute heart disease. *Chest* 98:1200–1206
151. McGee S, Abernethy WB 3rd, Simel DL (1999) The rational clinical examination. Is this patient hypovolemic? *JAMA* 281:1022–1029
152. Swan HJ, Ganz W, Forrester J, Marcus H, Diamond G, Chonette D (1970) Catheterization of the heart in man with use of a flow-directed balloon-tipped catheter. *N Engl J Med* 283:447–451
153. Hall JB (2005) Searching for evidence to support pulmonary artery catheter use in critically ill patients. *JAMA* 294:1693–1694
154. Connors AF Jr, Speroff T, Dawson NV, Thomas C, Harrell FE Jr, Wagner D, Desbiens N, Goldman L, Wu AW, Califf RM, Fulkerson WJ Jr, Vidaillet H, Broste S, Bellamy P, Lynn J, Knaus WA (1996) The effectiveness of right heart catheterization in the initial care of critically ill patients. SUPPORT Investigators. *JAMA* 276:889–897
155. Mackirdy FL, Howie JC (1997) The relationship between the presence of pulmonary artery catheters and the case mixed adjusted outcome of patients admitted to Scottish ICU's. *Clin Intensive Care* 8:9–13
156. Polanczyk CA, Rohde LE, Goldman L, Cook EF, Thomas EJ, Marcantonio ER, Mangione CM, Lee TH (2001) Right heart catheterization and cardiac complications in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: an observational study. *JAMA* 286:309–314
157. Murdoch SD, Cohen AT, Bellamy MC (2000) Pulmonary artery catheterization and mortality in critically ill patients. *Br J Anaesth* 85:611–615
158. Sakr Y, Vincent JL, Reinhart K, Payen D, Wiedermann CJ, Zandstra DF, Sprung CL, Sepsis Occurrence in Acutely Ill Patients Investigators (2005). Use of the pulmonary artery catheter is not associated with worse outcome in the ICU. *Chest* 128:2722–2731

- 
159. Vieillard-Baron A, Girou E, Valente E, Brun-Buisson C, Jardin F, Lemaire F, Brochard L (2000) Predictors of mortality in acute respiratory distress syndrome. Focus On the role of right heart catheterization. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 161:1597–1601
160. Rhodes A, Cusack RJ, Newman PJ, Grounds RM, Bennett ED (2002) A randomised, controlled trial of the pulmonary artery catheter in critically ill patients. *Intensive Care Med* 28:256–264
161. Richard C, Warszawski J, Anguel N, Deye N, Combes A, Barnoud D, Boulain T, Lefort Y, Fartoukh M, Baud F, Boyer A, Brochard L, Teboul JL, French Pulmonary Artery Catheter Study Group (2003) Early use of the pulmonary artery catheter and outcomes in patients with shock and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 290:2713–2720
162. Harvey S, Harrison DA, Singer M, Ashcroft J, Jones CM, Elbourne D, Brampton W, Williams D, Young D, Rowan K, PAC-Man study collaboration (2005) Assessment of the clinical effectiveness of pulmonary artery catheters in management of patients in intensive care (PAC-Man): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 366:472–477
163. ARDSnet (2006). Pulmonary-artery versus central venous catheter to guide treatment of acute lung injury. *N Engl J Med* 354:2213–2224
164. Guyatt G (1991) A randomized control trial of right-heart catheterization in critically ill patients. Ontario Intensive Care Study Group. *J Intensive Care Med* 6:91–95
165. Schultz RJ, Whitfield GF, LaMura JJ, Raciti A, Krishnamurthy S (1985) The role of physiologic monitoring in patients with fractures of the hip. *J Trauma* 25:309–316
166. Isaacson IJ, Lowdon JD, Berry AJ, Smith RB 3rd, Knos GB, Weitz FI, Ryan K (1990) The value of pulmonary artery and central venous monitoring in patients undergoing abdominal aortic reconstructive surgery: a comparative study of two selected, randomized groups. *J Vasc Surg* 12:754–760
167. Boyd O, Grounds RM, Bennett ED (1993) A randomized clinical trial of the effect of deliberate perioperative increase of oxygen delivery on mortality in high-risk surgical patients. *JAMA* 2270:2699–2707
168. Lobo SM, Salgado PF, Castillo VG, Borim AA, Polachini CA, Palchetti JC, Brienzi SL, de Oliveira GG (2000) Effects of maximizing oxygen delivery on morbidity and mortality in high-risk surgical patients. *Crit Care Med* 28:3396–3404
169. Alia I, Esteban A, Gordo F, Lorente JA, Diaz C, Rodriguez JA, Frutos F (1999) A randomized and controlled trial of the effect of treatment aimed at maximizing oxygen delivery in patients with severe sepsis or septic shock. *Chest* 115:453–461